

## Communication from Public

**Name:** John Martin

**Date Submitted:** 09/01/2023 09:45 AM

**Council File No:** 23-0002-S71

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose this resolution because it asks for the exemption of disproportionate area of Council District 11 from the requirement of taking on any multi-unit affordable housing. The resolution includes no plan as to how CD 11 will meet its target numbers for RHNA, which we understand will be 63,000 units, if half the district is exempted. The areas to be exempted are the among the wealthiest and whitest and highest opportunity areas in the city. There is no evidence that people who need low or moderate income housing will create any more of a fire danger than people who live in these areas now per one of the reasons listed for exemption. It's preposterous to say that people who need low or moderate income housing will threaten the coastal zone. Further, creating "density zones" in Westchester, as proposed by city planning, is NOT the answer. Doing this will create square miles of areas of high segregation and poverty, similar to the Mar Vista Gardens area. This is contrary to the purpose of RHNA and the City Housing Element. In addition, upzoning all of Westchester's transit corridors, which serve as ingress to/egress from LAX, as proposed by city planning, will also cause untenable problems for local community members and travelers from all over Los Angeles County and the world.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Susan w

**Date Submitted:** 09/01/2023 01:02 PM

**Council File No:** 23-0002-S71

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose this resolution because it asks for the exemption of huge areas of Council District 11 from the requirement of taking on any multi-unit affordable housing. The resolution includes no plan as to how CD 11 will meet its target numbers for RHNA, which we understand will be 63,000 units, if half the district is exempted. The areas to be exempted are the among the wealthiest and whitest and highest opportunity areas in the city. The resolution provides no evidence that people who need low or moderate income housing will create any more of a fire danger than people who live in these areas now. There is also no evidence that people who need low or moderate income housing will threaten the coastal zone. Further, creating "density zones" in Westchester, as proposed by city planning, is NOT the answer. Doing this will create square miles of areas of high segregation and poverty, similar to the Mar Vista Gardens area. This is contrary to the purpose of RHNA and the City Housing Element. In addition, upzoning all of Westchester's transit corridors, which serve as ingress to/egress from LAX, as proposed by city planning, will also cause untenable problems for local community members and travelers from all over Los Angeles County and the world.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Catherine Roze

**Date Submitted:** 09/01/2023 02:27 PM

**Council File No:** 23-0002-S71

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am very against the planned density zoning in Westchester. Our community is constantly, negatively impacted due to our proximity to LAX, including pollution, loss of single family housing, heavy traffic. The addition of huge multi-family buildings would be ruinous. It is also completely outrageous that ultra-wealthy areas of this district are being considered for exemptions, leaving the housing increases solely on Westchester. Our community was unable to use public services and spaces - Westchester Park, Pool, Library and Senior Center, during and well after Covid, due to the large amount of homeless camping.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Suzette Frio

**Date Submitted:** 09/01/2023 04:48 PM

**Council File No:** 23-0002-S71

**Comments for Public Posting:** Regarding the surge in the building of high density housing: The Westchester area has had enough of these buildings without consideration of the current residents. 1. Environmental impacts with the infrastructure would be an extra burden the old systems cannot absorb without the updates to the systems. 2. Multi-use property example Lincoln and Manchester, do not provide enough parking to sustain the light commercial. Businesses move out due to lack of enough foot traffic to sustain. 3. Parking ratios are NOT consistent with surrounding business requirements. 4. Police and Fire are overburdened now, and no current plans to develop. 5. LAWA took over the Manchester Square which created a deficit in affordable housing for the Metro. They need to be responsible for creating new housing for those who were unhoused as a result of take over. 6. Metro access does not provide any proof of use for those buildings too far to walk to closest station. 7. Metro lines have NOT been maintained for safe use of citizens, ridership is down due to lack of enforcement for homeless who board and stay on all day/night, and bring their encampment baskets onboard. 8. Lack of greenspace open to public on exterior design of current building. 9. Height encroaches on the privacy of the nearby residential yards, and homes. 10. Parking on city streets of neighborhoods already an issue. 11. Traffic mitigation needs are already at max capacity. 12. Developing affordable housing for low income should be addressed by the Housing Authority. Build these mixed commercial and residential on the land already set aside for housing. Those building are walking distance to the Metro all along the 105.