

Communication from Public

Name: David Rhodes
Date Submitted: 06/14/2023 09:54 AM
Council File No: 23-0008-S6
Comments for Public Posting: As, perhaps, one of the newest "RV Dwellers" on Glenco, I'd like to comment that these motions targeting human beings who survive by sheltering in RVs are cruel, thoughtless, traumatic tools of hatred reminiscent of the witch hunts of old. My daughter is a Dweller trying to negotiate brain cancer treatment in the peace of her own RV, which parks near mine. These kinds of motions against oversized vehicles cause far more challenging and cruel than they are beneficial to the public. Traci Parks doesn't want to see RVs close to her neiborhood, but we are just people. I AM YOUR NEIHBOR. I shop at Ralph's snd Pavillion. I eat at Panda. I fo to AMC for a movie. I keep It emaculate around my RV. Why are you snarling at us and placing signage ANYWHERE there might be a place to park. The worst part is that everywhere we go, our housed neighbors tell us we can't be here, yet nobody has told us where we may be to find peace, safety and - in the case of m. y daughter- a spit to heal without extra harassment from people with homes. The streets are mean enough all alone. NO ON TRACI'S MOTIONS. THEY HURT HUMANS.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/14/2023 12:29 PM

Council File No: 23-0008-S6

Comments for Public Posting: I am in support of proposed bans for RVs. They are road hazards, I live on a street that has the standard 2 traffic lanes, barely, and 2 huge RVs have been parked at the intersection sticking into the traffic lanes. I'm afraid I'm going to be rear ended trying to pull into the street I live on because I have to wait for my lane to clear because the RVs that never move are in the traffic lane. They are taking valuable parking, never move, and in many cases have questionable practices for dumping waste. Many do not appear to be road worthy and block sidewalks with belongings. If they are even registered, many are from out of state, not paying to support our local infrastructure. Enough!

Communication from Public

Name: Jed Pauker
Date Submitted: 06/14/2023 01:23 PM
Council File No: 23-0008-S6
Comments for Public Posting: Committee Members, I urge you to reject approval of today's resolution, for the following reasons: The population it specifically targets is comprised of overwhelmingly disadvantaged residents - evicted, impacted, local service-reliant, poor and otherwise denied or lacking equal access to resources and benefits that should be available to, and are expected for, all members of our society. The resolution provides no background support for its action, standing alone as a "swat-the-fly" initiative. It fails to invoke, much less acknowledge, lessons from fifteen years or more of prior and ongoing experience with historical instances of, and action (including the fraught 85.02 order - increasingly deployed "under the radar" until final expiration, mobile showers, safe parking and more) to address and relieve mobile homelessness, wrongful eviction and transient homelessness - both in the Los Angeles area and several locations inside and outside California, each of which provided multiple generations of your body with significant positive choices to help craft effective temporary and permanent solutions to the issues that underlie the fly-on-the-curb swatting nature of this resolution as currently crafted. Our tax dollars provide Councilmembers with significant staff and resources to map, explore and incorporate the history of what did and didn't work here and elsewhere since 2010 and before. Recognizing that two or more powerful, extraordinarily well-financed "nonprofits" have exerted undue and often rowdy influence to frame the issue as "property owners' rights," the bottom line is that our City Council bears authority and responsibility for evening the odds so that voiceless and resource-bereft Angelenos have the opportunity, if not to thrive as does the mainstream, at least to survive without demonization and marginalization by members of the healthy and wealthy population. Some years ago, when I heard that a good friend had moved to Oregon and was traveling home from his summer stay in Mexico, I invited him to come visit our unique coastal Venice. He had driven his recreational vehicle all over the nation and south - and north - of our borders, visiting family and friends and enjoying early retirement. Howard thanked me and declined my invitation, specifically because of his knowledge that Los Angeles - and, in particular, Venice - had developed a reputation as cruelly inimical to people who drive recreational vehicles. With

overbroad parking and enforcement challenges, and with attacks on recreational vehicle users and their vehicles by neighborhood operatives, he had neither stomach nor tourist interest in traveling anywhere near our City of Angels. Please accept this good-will reminder that, regardless of who ever paid the most money to elect you, your job description requires that you represent all of us. You have the resources to do this right. Please take the time. Again, please reject this short-order resolution, and keep in mind your reconsideration period between now and the next meeting should you realize that you voted "Yea" when you wish you had voted "Nay." Thank you, Jed Pauker For information only:
Leader, Venice Resistance Member, Westside LA Indivisible Board and Committee Member, Venice Neighborhood Council
2006-2016

Communication from Public

Name: Jeffrey T
Date Submitted: 06/14/2023 09:07 AM
Council File No: 23-0008-S6
Comments for Public Posting: Attached

This resolution is unconstitutional. The city is being sued right NOW in a lawsuit over restrictions on vehicle dwelling because they violate the 8th amendment. The whole point of Traci Park passing this restriction is 2 ban vehicle dwelling. That is who this affects. This resolution is CLASSIST & directly affects ppl (people) who are literally 2 poor to afford housing.

I have been a part of the neighborhood of Del Rey where Glencoe is located, & I had zero problem with the people in RVs. They have maintained ADA clearance when I walk by. I enjoy talking 2 my neighbors on Glencoe.

Meanwhile there are already parking restrictions on the street of Glencoe, & as of today NOBODY IS DWELLING IN A VEHICLE ON GLENCOE because they were already forcibly relocated despite Traci Park's office being obligated by a NC motion 2 provide outreach & help ppl b relocated instead of dislocated.

Why purposefully ban poor people from streets with only 1, two, or ZERO RVs? Traci Park is openly discriminating against the poor class. This isn't right. You have a duty 2 PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF YOUR CITIZENS--not to GO OUT OF YOUR WAY TO INFRINGE UPON THEM!

These resolutions Traci Park is pushing through your committee don't even MEET THE CRITERIA 2 restrict parking under 80.69.4 in nearly every. single. street in every single ordinance. This is a HUGE OVERSIGHT on ur part! Are u seriously neglecting ur duty?

This is outright cruel. Many of the ppl who live in RVs lost their housing in the 2008 housing crash & were not able 2 afford housing since. Most of the ppl who I know live in RVs are disabled in some way that they cannot work. A large percentage of our population is disabled physically or mentally, or are just too elderly 2 work. What are they supposed 2 do?

Average starting rent in CD11 is about \$2500. That is MORE than what a full time minimum wage workers makes after taxes! Landlords want renters 2 make as much as 3 times that! Meaning if you are 2 afford housing, u can't make less than \$75k to \$3k a year! Who the hell makes that kind of money? Most salaried workers don't even make that! What about small business owners who make less than that in net income after business expenses (Yet u see council members trying 2 further restrict street vendors. U do realize that they r sole proprietors, struggling 2 make a living, right? & as somebody with low blood sugar problems, street vendors have saved my life.)?

There is NO WAY 2 afford rent as a minimum wage workers UNLESS you are working full time AND have a voucher! My campmate finally got housed & is rent burdened between his rent & utilities even WITH a voucher & a utility subsidy! Disabled? on Disability, SSI, or SSDI? You're screwed!

Where the hell do you expect people to go??!

It is UNTENABLE for you to vote yes on this motion!

I know so many ppl who live in RVs who wanted 2 submit public comment, however they were unable to. People in RVs deal with the same problems other unhoused people deal with which makes it difficult for them 2 participate in these meetings, which is why it's especially important that you consider them and do what you can to protect them as your MOST VULNERABLE constituents! Many ppl who wanted 2 give comment today had 2 deal with forced relocation; & between the planning and the trauma, nobody was able to. I need 2 protect their rights as citizens! As constituents!

There are so few places where they could park their vehicle to begin with. Ppl had 2 search high & low for new spots. This was also inherently traumatic. Hell, one family has a daughter recovering from BRAIN CANCER with repeat surgeries which has left her disabled al& unable 2 properly express herself without help, & her father had 2 hire ppl with money he didn't have in order 2 move their vehicles. This is ridiculous. That is who you displaced--ppl who hardly have anything & are struggling the most when u could have been BENEVOLENT allowed ppl stay in their neighborhoods in the communities where they are from & connected 2.

One of my neighbors lived on Glencoe her ENTIRE LIFE! FOR 60 YEARS! SHE WAS BORN ON GLENCOE! yet you are telling her she is not allowed 2 be in her own neighborhood! You know why she lives in an RV? Because she lost her home she spent her life working 2 afford 2 a housing crash & now she's disabled!

Ppl come here b/c of wildfires, tourism, the Olympics --HOW is that going 2 work when visitors or ppl seeking refuge start reporting back that LA is hostile 2 tourists & 2 NOT go there as a tourist or 2 spectate the Olympics? There will be zero parking when millions come here. Hotels r already booked up under Inside Safe, & I assure u the current hotel stock will not be enough 4 everybody & will be too high for many ppl spending what money they do have 2 come here just 2 see a once in a lifetime event!

SERVE the ppl! This resolution is unconstitutional & disproportionately affects the poorest & disabled! That's discrimination and classism! PROTECT our rights!