

Communication from Public

Name: Michael T Wilson

Date Submitted: 11/03/2022 05:25 PM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly condemn "Interactive Kiosk Experience" program that seeks to place between 300 and 500 8-foot tall digital ad kiosks on LA sidewalks, structures that will be capturing geolocation data of all who pass by them. There has been no notice about this program, no outreach, and no opportunity for input from the public about these kiosks. DO NOT ALLOW THIS RUINATION OF OUR CITY WALKING EXPERIENCE

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/03/2022 05:32 PM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly urge the city council to engage the public regarding placing IKE digital billboards on city sidewalks before making any agreements to go forward with this plan.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/03/2022 01:30 PM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: Sidewalk "Billboards" are even more obnoxious than giant Billboards. We don't need them cluttering the sidewalks. Please do not approve them! Thank You

Communication from Public

Name: Liz Amsden

Date Submitted: 11/03/2022 10:25 AM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: The proposed Interactive Kiosk Experience or IKE Program appears to be an appalling misuse of taxpayer money and will be a blight on our city. Where is the input from those of us who live in Los Angeles? Where are the CIS from the Neighborhood Councils? Who do these benefit? Where are the CEQA studies and EIR reports? Has the CAO approved? If so, where is his report? If not, why not? Who HAS approved this? Surely this wasn't done behind closed doors without the input of all relevant departments and agencies? A 22-year commitment is NOT acceptable given anticipated rapid changes in years to come. If Neighborhood Councils have to listen to the City Attorney then it behooves the City Council to do the same. Who is getting paid to push this through City Council? Given the ethical stains on the City Council at the moment, it needs to take this back and start again! My objection to the STAP program envisioned such abuses as further opening the door to advertisers placing billboards, digital ads and kiosks in the public right of way. Another significant danger for drivers, riders and pedestrians, and a visual blight. And anything interactive allows for identity theft. I am shocked that the City would even consider allowing an outside commercial company to perform services without letting it out to bid WITHIN the City. "Savings" from tagging onto Houston's deal are only savings if this will truly be of benefit to the City and will not have actionable blow-back. It appears to be very unlikely that it will not attract legal action. There are definitely benefits especially where tourism is concerned but... it MUST go through proper channels and due process! Where is the oversight and accountability which is part of the unspoken contract the City must maintain with all of its residents? Please listen to me, other residents and the City Attorney and IMMEDIATELY refer this back to the committees and procedures that exist to protect Angelenos.