## PLANNING DEPARTMENT TRANSMITTAL TO THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

CITY PLANNING CASE:	ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT:	COUNCIL DISTRICT:	
DIR-1993-36-RV-PA3	ENV-2021-6105-CE	8	
PROJECT ADDRESS:			
1355 West Florence Avenue			
APPLICANT	TELEPHONE NUMBER:	EMAIL ADDRESS:	
In Ok Choi □ New/Changed			
APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE	TELEPHONE NUMBER:	EMAIL ADDRESS:	
Alex Woo	(213) 228-3288	Alex@gci-la.com	
APPELLANT	TELEPHONE NUMBER:	EMAIL ADDRESS:	
In Ok Choi			
APPELLANT'S REPRESENTATIVE	TELEPHONE NUMBER:	EMAIL ADDRESS:	
Alex Woo	(213) 228-3288	Alex@gci-la.com	
PLANNER CONTACT INFORMATION:	TELEPHONE NUMBER:	EMAIL ADDRESS:	
Matthew Lum	(213) 978-1912	Matthew.Lum@lacity.org	
ENTITLEMENTS FOR CITY COUNCIL CONSIDERATION			
Plan Approval for Condition Compliance Review.			

FINAL ENTITLEMENTS NOT ADVANCING:				
ITEMS APPEALED:				
Condition Nos. 1-38.				
ATTACHMENTS:	REVISED:	ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE:	REVISED:	
Letter of Determination				
☐ Findings of Fact		☐ Negative Declaration		
Staff Recommendation Report		☐ Mitigated Negative Declaration		
$\square$ Conditions of Approval		☐ Environmental Impact Report		
☐ Ordinance		☐ Mitigation Monitoring Program		
☐ Zone Change Map		☐ Other		
☐ GPA Resolution				
☐ Land Use Map				
☐ Exhibit A - Site Plan				
☐ Mailing List				
☐ Land Use				
✓ Other				
NOTES / INSTRUCTION(S):  The operator/owner of Tom's Market (formerly known as Tom's Liquor) is appealing the entire decision of the Associate Zoning Administrator's determination on Case No. DIR-1993-36-RV-PA3: (1) Categorical Exemption pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, Section 15321 and related CEQA findings with no substantial evidence demonstrating that an exception to a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines, Section 15300.2 applies; (2) All corrective conditions but specifically the newly imposed conditions: Condition Nos 26 (LAPD Meetings), 27 (ABC regulations), 28 (alcohol sales of single can/bottle), 29 (sale of single cigarette), 30 (signage/ advertisement of alcohol), 31 (discouraging criminal activity), 32 (not selling to overly intoxicated individuals), 33 (monitoring premises), 34 (prostitutes and narcotic users/sellers), 35 (no public drinking), 36 (narcotics activity/trespassing), 37 (fencing), and 38 (fee reimbursement), on the basis that they prohibit the operator/owner from continuing to provide the public convenience and necessity to their customers as well as the potential for lawsuits due to racial profiling and discrimination; (3) Conditions are not enforceable; (4) The operator/owner demonstrated substantial compliance with the corrective conditions and (5) Residents are supportive of the subject business.				
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT:				
□ Yes ✓ No				
*If determination states administrative costs are recovered through fees, indicate "Yes".				

**PLANNING COMMISSION:** 

☐ City Planning Commission (CPC) ☐ Cultural Heritage Commission (CHC) ☐ Central Area Planning Commission ☐ East LA Area Planning Commission ☐ Harbor Area Planning Commission	<ul> <li>North Valley Area Planning Commission</li> <li>South LA Area Planning Commission</li> <li>South Valley Area Planning Commission</li> <li>West LA Area Planning Commission</li> </ul>
PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING DATE:	COMMISSION VOTE:
LAST DAY TO APPEAL:	APPEALED:
TRANSMITTED BY:	TRANSMITTAL DATE:
Linda Lou	4/13/22