

CONSIDERATION OF PROTESTS, CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION and PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE REPORT relative to the vacation of an alley easterly of Reseda Boulevard from Erwin Street to approximately 153 feet northerly of Bessemer Street, VAC-E1401262 (Vacation).

Recommendations for Council action:

1. FIND that:
  - a. The vacation is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) of 1970, pursuant to Article III, Class 5(3) of the City's CEQA Guidelines (2002).
  - b. The minor sidewalk and street improvements are exempt under Class 1(3) of City's CEQA Guidelines (2002).
2. FIND that the street vacation proceedings pursuant to the Public Streets, Highways and Service Easements Vacation Law be instituted for the vacation of the public right-of way indicated below and shown on the map of the October 13, 2016 City Engineer report, attached to the Council file: The alley easterly of Reseda Boulevard from Erwin Street to approximately 153 feet northerly of Bessemer Street.
3. FIND that there is a public benefit to the Vacation. Upon vacation of the street, the City is relieved of its ongoing obligation to maintain the street. In addition, the City is relieved of any potential liability that might result from continued ownership of the involved street easements.
4. FIND that the Vacation is in substantial conformance with the purposes, intent and provisions of the General Plan, in conformance with Section 556 of the City Charter.
5. FIND that the Vacation is not necessary for non-motorized transportation facilities, in conformance with Section 892 of the California Streets and Highways Code.
6. FIND that the Vacation is not necessary for present or prospective public use, in conformance with Section 8324 of the California Streets and Highways Code.
7. ADOPT the said City Engineer's report with the conditions amended as stated in the Communication from Councilmember Blumenfield dated February 4, 2021, attached to the Council file.
8. DENY the vacation of the area shown colored orange on Exhibit B of said City Engineer report.

Fiscal Impact Statement: The City Engineer reports that the petitioner has paid a fee of \$47,080 for the investigation of this request pursuant to Section 7.42 of the Los Angeles Administrative Code (LAAC). Any deficit fee to recover the cost pursuant to Section 7.44 of the LAAC will be required of the petitioner. Maintenance of the public easement by City forces will be eliminated.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted.

## SUMMARY

At the meeting held on February 10, 2021, your Public Works Committee considered the recommendations of the City Engineer as contained in its aforementioned report. The City Engineer reports that the area sought to be vacated is an alley easterly of Reseda Boulevard from Erwin Street to approximately 153 feet northerly of Bessemer Street (a map of the area is included in said City Engineer report). The purpose of the vacation request is to completely close off the alley, which has been removed from public use. This vacation procedure is being processed under procedures established by Council on March 5, 2002 as detailed in Council file No. 01-1459. After having provided an opportunity for public comment, the Committee moved to recommend approval of the recommendations as contained in said City Engineer report as amended as detailed above. This matter is now submitted to Council for its consideration.

Respectfully Submitted,

## PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

| <u>MEMBER</u> | <u>VOTE</u> |
|---------------|-------------|
| BLUMENFIELD:  | YES         |
| LEE:          | YES         |
| DE LEON:      | YES         |
| O'FARRELL     | YES         |
| KORETZ:       | YES         |

ME 2/10/21

**-NOT OFFICIAL UNTIL COUNCIL ACTS-**