

---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**27 messages

---

**From:** Daniel Arturo <info@email.actionnetwork.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 11:46 AM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Daniel Arturo

[mbenaryeh@gmail.com](mailto:mbenaryeh@gmail.com)

14732 Huston St

[Sherman Oaks, California 91403](#)

**From:** Sarah Rogozen <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:00 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Sarah Rogozen  
[azucaronsnow@gmail.com](mailto:azucaronsnow@gmail.com)  
1459 Rexford Drive  
[Los Angeles , California 90035](#)

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:02 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Liam Fitzpatrick

[liamfit@gmail.com](mailto:liamfit@gmail.com)

1850 Whitley Ave, Apt 509

[Los Angeles, California 90028](#)

**From:** Hans Beischel <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:12 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Hans Beischel

[hbardenheuer@gmail.com](mailto:hbardenheuer@gmail.com)

4707 6th Ave

[Los Angeles, California 90043](#)

4 / 27

---

**From:** Steve Ducey <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:17 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Steve Ducey

[stevejducey@gmail.com](mailto:stevejducey@gmail.com)

134 S Dillon

Los Angeles, California 90057

5 / 27

---

**From:** kendall.mayhew@gmail.com <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:20 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place,

Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

[kendall.mayhew@gmail.com](mailto:kendall.mayhew@gmail.com)

4316 Edenhurst Ave., Apt. 4

LOS ANGELES, California 90039-1263

6 / 27

---

**From:** Melanie Criscione <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:22 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The

Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Melanie Criscione

[criscionem97@gmail.com](mailto:criscionem97@gmail.com)

7666 arroyo vista avenue

[Rancho Cucamonga , California 91730](#)

7 / 27

---

**From:** Danica Pierce <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:27 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments

like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Danica Pierce

[danicaand@yahoo.com](mailto:danicaand@yahoo.com)

6117 Mesa

Los Angeles, California 90042

8 / 27

---

**From:** Akio Katano <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:47 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable

housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Akio Katano

[chuckieanvil@gmail.com](mailto:chuckieanvil@gmail.com)

134 S Dillon

Los Angeles , California 90057

9 / 27

---

**From:** Samantha Monarch <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:09 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without

kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Samantha Monarch  
[crackinyoureye@gmail.com](mailto:crackinyoureye@gmail.com)  
2558 Glen Green St  
[Los Angeles, California 90068](#)

10 / 27

---

**From:** Chase Engelhardt <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:09 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission

acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Chase Engelhardt

[cengelh1@gmail.com](mailto:cengelh1@gmail.com)

1635 Stoner ave

[Los Angeles, California 90025](#)

11 / 27

---

**From:** Michael Bednar <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:11 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing

requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.  
Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Michael Bednar  
[mhbednar@gmail.com](mailto:mhbednar@gmail.com)  
560 North Kingsley Drive, Apt. 220  
[Los Angeles, California 90004](#)

12 / 27

---

**From:** Ashley Gonzales <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:24 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Ashley Gonzales

[ashleygo@gmail.com](mailto:ashleygo@gmail.com)

241 n lake st

[los angeles, California 90026](#)

13 / 27

---

**From:** rcbgs@yahoo.com <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:29 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

[rcbgs@yahoo.com](mailto:rcbgs@yahoo.com)  
4023 W 64th St  
Los Angeles, California 90043

14 / 27

---

**From:** Sasha Ortiz <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:29 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Sasha Ortiz  
[sashazoe@gmail.com](mailto:sashazoe@gmail.com)  
1526 1/2 Mohawk St.  
Los Angeles, California 90026

15 / 27

---

**From:** Darrell Neft <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:29 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Darrell Neft  
[dsneft@gmail.com](mailto:dsneft@gmail.com)  
3132 Madeira Av  
Costa Mesa , California 92626

**From:** Karla Devine <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:48 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Karla Devine  
[kjdevine99@yahoo.com](mailto:kjdevine99@yahoo.com)  
1406 11th St  
Manhattan Beach, California 90266

**From:** RevJim Conn <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:55 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

RevJim Conn  
[urbconn@aol.com](mailto:urbconn@aol.com)  
230 Pacific St Apt 108, Jobs  
Santa Monica, California 90405

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:19 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Elaina Provencio

[Elaina.provencio@gmail.com](mailto:Elaina.provencio@gmail.com)

4315 Prospect Ave

[Los Angeles, California 90027](#)

**From:** Jennifer Swan <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:39 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Jennifer Swan

[jennycreeswan@gmail.com](mailto:jennycreeswan@gmail.com)

224 S. Venice Blvd., Unit B

[Venice, California 90291](#)

20 / 27

---

**From:** Moshe Ben Aryeh-Leib <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:45 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Moshe Ben Aryeh-Leib  
[mbenaryeh@gmail.com](mailto:mbenaryeh@gmail.com)  
14732 Huston St  
[Sherman Oaks, California 91403](#)

21 / 27

---

**From:** Joel Morgan <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:47 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place,

Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

From a tenant of CD1 in crisis,  
- Joel

Joel Morgan  
[jstevenm@gmail.com](mailto:jstevenm@gmail.com)  
455 s lake st  
[Los Angeles, California 90057](#)

22 / 27

---

**From:** Edward Kijewski <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 3:04 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place,

Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Edward Kijewski

[the110press@mac.com](mailto:the110press@mac.com)

15 Paloma Ave., #506

Venice , California 90291

23 / 27

---

**From:** Jennifer Swan <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 3:08 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses.

On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Jennifer Swan

[jennycreeswan@gmail.com](mailto:jennycreeswan@gmail.com)

224 S. Venice Blvd., Unit B

[Venice, California 90291](#)

24 / 27

---

**From:** Sabrina Liao <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 3:23 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several

zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Sabrina Liao

[dragolfotter+gov@gmail.com](mailto:dragolfotter+gov@gmail.com)

4861 Arden Dr

[Temple City, California 91780](#)

25 / 27

---

**From:** brittani.nichols@gmail.com <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:01 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is

allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

[brittani.nichols@gmail.com](mailto:brittani.nichols@gmail.com)

2700 Cahuenga Blvd East

LOS ANGELES, California 90068

26 / 27

---

**From:** Chloe Gershenson <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:21 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without

kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Chloe Gershenson

[chloeaviva@gmail.com](mailto:chloeaviva@gmail.com)

2206 Brenta Pl

[Venice, California 90291](#)

---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Michelle Seyler <mseyler@cluejustice.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:21 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Michelle Seyler  
[mseyler@cluejustice.org](mailto:mseyler@cluejustice.org)  
464 Lucas Ave.  
[Los Angeles, California 90017](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Renee Levine-Blonder <[renee@poemation.com](mailto:renee@poemation.com)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:10 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Renee Levine-Blonder  
[renee@poemation.com](mailto:renee@poemation.com)  
21234 Providencia St.  
[Woodland Hills, California 91364](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Karen Brodtkin <[kbrodtkin@anthro.ucla.edu](mailto:kbrodtkin@anthro.ucla.edu)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:09 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Karen Brodtkin  
[kbrodtkin@anthro.ucla.edu](mailto:kbrodtkin@anthro.ucla.edu)  
803 Amoroso Pl  
[Venice, California 90291-3901](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Lenore Dowling <Indowling@att.net>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:01 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Lenore Dowling  
[Indowling@att.net](mailto:Indowling@att.net)  
2253 Moreno Dr.  
[Los Angeles, California 90039](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Iris Edinger <[iris.n.edinger@my.csun.edu](mailto:iris.n.edinger@my.csun.edu)>**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 3:27 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Iris Edinger

[iris.n.edinger@my.csun.edu](mailto:iris.n.edinger@my.csun.edu)

5534 Pattilar Ave.

[Woodland Hills, California 91367](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Maneck Bhujwala <[mbhujwala@socal.rr.com](mailto:mbhujwala@socal.rr.com)>**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 3:08 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Maneck Bhujwala

[mbhujwala@socal.rr.com](mailto:mbhujwala@socal.rr.com)

8644 PORTOLA CT

[HUNTINGTON BEACH, California 92646](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Sarah Schwartz <SarahElizabeth.Inc@gmail.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:37 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Sarah Schwartz

SarahElizabeth.Inc@Gmail.com

4741 N Figueroa St

[Los Angeles, California 90042](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** David Campbell <dcampbell@usw675.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:21 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

David Campbell  
[dcampbell@usw675.org](mailto:dcampbell@usw675.org)  
2856 N North Coolidge Ave  
[Los Angeles, California 90745](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Christopher Roth <chris.roth@groundgamela.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:40 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Christopher Roth

[chris.roth@groundgamela.org](mailto:chris.roth@groundgamela.org)

849 S Broadway Apt 1205

[Los Angeles, California 90014](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Jessica Marglin <marglin@usc.edu>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:22 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Jessica Marglin

[marglin@usc.edu](mailto:marglin@usc.edu)

1110 Hi Point st.

[Los Angeles, California 90035](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Natalie Godinez <natalie.godinez@selfhelpgraphics.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:58 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Natalie Godinez

[natalie.godinez@selfhelpgraphics.com](mailto:natalie.godinez@selfhelpgraphics.com)

N/A

Los Angeles, California 90065



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place:**1 message

---

**From:** allison schallert <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:19 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In what could be an avalanch of evictions, the City of Los Angeles needs to be building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please demand the developers either but money in the linkage fee or put more affordable units into the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects

allison schallert  
[allison.jandmwellsff@gmail.com](mailto:allison.jandmwellsff@gmail.com)  
147 N Larchmont #266  
[LA, California 90004](#)

1 / 1

---

---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Alexandra Malek <a@alexandramalek.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:07 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Alexandra Malek

[a@alexandramalek.com](mailto:a@alexandramalek.com)

2034 Argyle Ave, 4

Los Angeles, California 90068



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Mariana Huerta Jones <mhuerta@allianceforcommunitytransit.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:07 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Mariana Huerta Jones

[mhuerta@allianceforcommunitytransit.org](mailto:mhuerta@allianceforcommunitytransit.org)

152 W. 32nd Street

[Los Angeles, California 90007](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Bill Przulucki <[bill@groundgamela.org](mailto:bill@groundgamela.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:01 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Bill Przulucki  
[bill@groundgamela.org](mailto:bill@groundgamela.org)  
4316 Edenhurst Ave. Apt. 4  
[Los Angeles, California 90039](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** channa grace <channa@worksusa.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 11:59 AM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

channa grace

[channa@worksusa.org](mailto:channa@worksusa.org)

927 Terrace 49

[Los Angeles, California 90042](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))**1 message

---

**From:** Olivia Barrett <[olivia@chateaushatto.com](mailto:olivia@chateaushatto.com)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 11:57 AM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Olivia Barrett  
[olivia@chateaushatto.com](mailto:olivia@chateaushatto.com)  
1850 Whitley Avenue, Apt 1019  
[Los Angeles, California 90028](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded We need housing, not hotels (Item #6) and 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639))**1 message

---

**From:** Laurence Frank <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 6:03 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record homelessness, low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, we need affordable housing and not hotels. And I suspect the hotels proposed are refusing Labor standards. The City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Laurence Frank  
[larry.frank.00@gmail.com](mailto:larry.frank.00@gmail.com)  
2110 Walnut Avenue  
[Venice, California 90291](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Koreatown Resident**1 message

---

**From:** Carla Rosas <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:45 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

I am a life long resident of Koreatown. I rent, work, and attend school all here in Koreatown. I have just found out that there is a plan to build a hotel one building away from me. That is absolutely ridiculous. Housing is already very limited in Koreatown due to high rent prices. PARKING IS SIMPLY AN ABOMINATION. The last thing we need is more traffic and less parking for the residents. In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways: At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Carla Rosas

[carlalrosas@gmail.com](mailto:carlalrosas@gmail.com)

970 Menlo Ave #4

[Los Angeles , California 90006](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**40 messages

---

**From:** James Miller <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:48 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

James Miller  
[grtfeel1@aol.com](mailto:grtfeel1@aol.com)  
5330 Whitsett Ave 4  
Valley Village, California 91607

**From:** Oscar Aguilar <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Oscar Aguilar  
[odaguilar77@gmail.com](mailto:odaguilar77@gmail.com)  
1634 w. 59th St.  
[Los angeles, California 90047](#)

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Marco Diaz

[mrmad65@yahoo.com](mailto:mrmad65@yahoo.com)

4508 s van ness

[Los angels , California 90062](#)

**From:** Denise8 Hill <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Denise Hill

[ddclassic@aol.com](mailto:ddclassic@aol.com)

1951 W 84th PI

[Los Angeles, California 90047](#)

4 / 40

---

**From:** MANUEL DUARTE <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

MANUEL DUARTE

[mduarte0130@gmail.com](mailto:mduarte0130@gmail.com)

2200 West 14th Street, APT6

Los Angeles, California 90006

5 / 40

---

**From:** enrique gonzalez <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La

Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

enrique gonzalez

[enriquegonzalez861@gmail.com](mailto:enriquegonzalez861@gmail.com)

1156 n cahuenga Blvd

[Los Angeles , California 90038](#)

6 / 40

---

**From:** Victor Herrera <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses.

On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Victor Herrera

[herreravictor69@gmail.com](mailto:herreravictor69@gmail.com)

9124 National Blvd

[Los Angeles, California 90034](#)

7 / 40

---

**From:** Rosa Santana <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department

recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Rosa Santana

[santana\\_r03@yahoo.com](mailto:santana_r03@yahoo.com)

12000 lamanda street

[Los Angeles , California 90066](#)

8 / 40

---

**From:** Ana Alejandra <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:50 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is

allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ana Alejandra

[allemendozas@gmail.com](mailto:allemendozas@gmail.com)

1131 saborn ave

Los Angeles, CA, California 90029

9 / 40

---

**From:** Jorge noubleau <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:50 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's

hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects. Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Jorge noubleau  
[jnobleaur@yahoo.com](mailto:jnobleaur@yahoo.com)  
7023 Willis Ave  
Van Nuys, California 91405

10 / 40

---

**From:** Pedro Enríquez <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:51 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will

have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Pedro Enríquez

[taho\\_z71@yahoo.com](mailto:taho_z71@yahoo.com)

933 Venice Bl #1

Venice, California 90291

11 / 40

---

**From:** Alex Mendoza <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:52 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing

requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Alex Mendoza

[mendozaa839@yahoo.com](mailto:mendozaa839@yahoo.com)

4126 w rosewood ave

[Los Angeles California , California 90004](#)

12 / 40

---

**From:** Rosalina Álvarez <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:52 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Rosalina Álvarez

[rosita8811@yahoo.com](mailto:rosita8811@yahoo.com)

3042 E 6th st

[Los Angeles California , California 90023](#)

13 / 40

---

**From:** Jean Witzak <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:53 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Jean Witzczak  
[JeanWitzczak@gmail.com](mailto:JeanWitzczak@gmail.com)  
13522 Moorpark Street #2  
Sherman Oaks, California 91423

14 / 40

---

**From:** Brenda Caballero Mendoza <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:53 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Brenda Caballero Mendoza  
[mendoza.brenda0981@gmail.com](mailto:mendoza.brenda0981@gmail.com)

10000 Imperial Highway, Apt E301  
[Downey, California 90242](#)

15 / 40

---

**From:** Carl Theophile <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:53 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Carl Theophile  
[carltheophile@yahoo.com](mailto:carltheophile@yahoo.com)  
457W.87thStreet Apt 12  
LosAngeles, California 90003

16 / 40

---

**From:** Roberto Castillo <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:54 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Roberto Castillo  
[robertseon1@yahoo.com](mailto:robertseon1@yahoo.com)  
413 1/2 N Serrano ave  
[los Angeles, California 90004](#)

---

**From:** Kate Spear <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:56 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Kate Spear  
[katefspear@gmail.com](mailto:katefspear@gmail.com)  
4072 1/2 Glenalbyn Dr  
[Los Angeles, California 90065](#)

---

**From:** Sandra Rodriguez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:56 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Sandra Rodriguez

[sandrar78@yahoo.com](mailto:sandrar78@yahoo.com)

1553 W. Jefferson Blvd.

[Los angeles, California 90018](#)

**From:** MARTHA Avalos <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:00 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

MARTHA Avalos

[meavalos1007@gmail.com](mailto:meavalos1007@gmail.com)

1541 Ridgecrest St Apt C

[Monterey Park, California 91754-4370](#)

**From:** Milton Ortiz <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:01 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Milton Ortiz

[Milcon942@gmail.com](mailto:Milcon942@gmail.com)

1902 park av.220

Los Angeles, California 90026

21 / 40

---

**From:** Jaqueline Alecio <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:01 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Jaqueline Alecio

[bechisga@hotmail.com](mailto:bechisga@hotmail.com)

11935 Orchard Ave

[Los angeles, California 90044](#)

22 / 40

---

**From:** Daniel salas <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:04 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La

Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Daniel salas

[daniel.salas2158@gmail.com](mailto:daniel.salas2158@gmail.com)

11930 hoyt st

[Sylmar, California 91342](#)

23 / 40

---

**From:** Lucero Ramirez <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:05 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses.

On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Lucero Ramirez

[lucyra\\_0110@yahoo.com](mailto:lucyra_0110@yahoo.com)

732 1/2 n gramercy pl

[Los angeles, California 90038](#)

24 / 40

---

**From:** Ruby Rodriguez <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:19 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department

recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ruby Rodriguez

[rbyrodd11@gmail.com](mailto:rbyrodd11@gmail.com)

138 N. Soto St, 207

Los Angeles, CA, California 90033

25 / 40

---

**From:** Cesilia Canseco <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:21 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is

allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Cesilia Canseco

[cansecomarquez86@gmail.com](mailto:cansecomarquez86@gmail.com)

752 Wilton Place # 5

[Los Angeles, California 90005](#)

26 / 40

---

**From:** Sonia Bautista <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:27 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's

hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects. Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Sonia Bautista  
[soniaboutista83@yahoo.com](mailto:soniaboutista83@yahoo.com)  
7343 tujunganga ave apt #2  
[North Hollywood, California 91605](#)

27 / 40

---

**From:** Lorenzo Martinez <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:29 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will

have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Lorenzo Martinez

[PrietoMartinez529@gmail.com](mailto:PrietoMartinez529@gmail.com)

6327cold watercanyon [Ave 51/2](#)

North Hollywood Woody CA, California 91606

28 / 40

---

**From:** Lopez E Jose <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:37 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing

requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Lopez E Jose

[jose.lopez631@yahoo.com](mailto:jose.lopez631@yahoo.com)

924 S carondelet ST apt 214

Los ángeles ca , California 90006

29 / 40

---

**From:** Helen Robles <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:44 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Helen Robles

[irmachavez1022016@gmail.com](mailto:irmachavez1022016@gmail.com)

601 west 101 street

[Los Angeles , California 90044](#)

30 / 40

---

**From:** Deysi Diaz <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:46 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Deysi Diaz  
[deysidiaz77@hotmail.com](mailto:deysidiaz77@hotmail.com)  
601 west 101 street  
[Los Angeles , California 90044](#)

31 / 40

---

**From:** Patricia Ibanez <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:48 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Patricia Ibanez  
[ibanezpatricia40@gmail.com](mailto:ibanezpatricia40@gmail.com)

9400 National blvd apt 11  
[Los Angeles California , California 90034](#)

32 / 40

---

**From:** Delmy Alas <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 9:14 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Delmy Alas  
[alasdemy@gmail.com](mailto:alasdemy@gmail.com)  
4925 West Adams Blvd apt 23  
[Los Angeles ca, California 90018](#)

---

**From:** Emma Worthington <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 9:44 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Emma Worthington

[emmamgot@gmail.com](mailto:emmamgot@gmail.com)

6108 S Budlong Avenue

[Los Angeles , California 90044](#)

---

**From:** ELSA MEJIA <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 9:44 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

ELSA MEJIA  
[elsabonilla006@gmail.com](mailto:elsabonilla006@gmail.com)  
1565E50 TH ST  
Los Angeles California , California 90011

**From:** Ildri Rodríguez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 9:47 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ildri Rodríguez  
[Ildriro98@gmail.com](mailto:Ildriro98@gmail.com)  
15101/2 s westmoreland ave  
[Los Angeles CA, California 90006](#)

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 10:28 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ana Lopez

[analopez062489@gmail.com](mailto:analopez062489@gmail.com)

225 E 51 st Street

[Los Angeles , California 90011](#)

**From:** Ana Miranda <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

**At:** Thu, Oct 22, 2020 at 5:43 AM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ana Miranda

[nelsonana2127@yahoo.com](mailto:nelsonana2127@yahoo.com)

3965 south budlong ave

[Los Angeles Ca, California 90037](#)

38 / 40

---

**From:** Raúl Macias <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Thu, Oct 22, 2020 at 6:52 AM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Raúl Macias

[raumacias64@gmail.com](mailto:raumacias64@gmail.com)

1000 n Figueroa st

[Wilmington , California 90744](#)

39 / 40

---

**From:** Mike Sinkov <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Thu, Oct 22, 2020 at 7:38 AM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La

Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Mike Sinkov

[MSinkov@yahoo.com](mailto:MSinkov@yahoo.com)

146 S. Dillon Street

[Los Angeles, California 90057](#)

---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Lauren Bennett <LNBPRODUCTION@gmail.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 10:45 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

We demand our unhoused and under resourced siblings be treated with the humanity and access to shelter that they deserve. In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Lauren Bennett

[LNBPRODUCTION@GMAIL.COM](mailto:LNBPRODUCTION@GMAIL.COM)

2524 w 4th street

[Los Angeles , California 90057](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Mohammad Hussain <mohammadhussain86@tahoo.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 10:32 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Mohammad Hussain

[mohammadhussain86@tahoo.com](mailto:mohammadhussain86@tahoo.com)

5330 corteen pl#7

[Valley village , California 91607](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Judy Branfman <branfman@ucla.edu>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 10:19 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Judy Branfman

[branfman@ucla.edu](mailto:branfman@ucla.edu)

PO Box 5351

Santa Monica, California 90409



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Juan Vazquez <juann5@msn.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 9:47 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Juan Vazquez

[juann5@msn.com](mailto:juann5@msn.com)

3528 W Adams Blvd Apt 32

[Los Angeles, California 90018](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Emely Rivera <emelyrivera@att.net>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:26 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Emely Rivera  
[emelyrivera@att.net](mailto:emelyrivera@att.net)  
1439 s sycamore ave  
[Los Angeles , California 90019](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Rita Atilano <atilanx@sbcglobal.net>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:04 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Rita Atilano  
[atilanx@sbcglobal.net](mailto:atilanx@sbcglobal.net)  
2222 Duane St  
[Los Angeles CA, California 90039](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Yaneth Velasquez <vic-roxana@live.com>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:00 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Yaneth Velasquez  
[vic-roxana@live.com](mailto:vic-roxana@live.com)  
649 N Ardmore Ave.#9  
[Los Angeles](#) , Texas 900004



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Ana Silva <silvaana@sbcglobal.net>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:55 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ana Silva  
[silvaana@sbcglobal.net](mailto:silvaana@sbcglobal.net)  
652 Imogen Ave  
[Los Angeles, California 90026](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Hermilo Rodriguez <hermilorodriguez@sbcglobal.net>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:54 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Hermilo Rodriguez

[hermilorodriguez@sbcglobal.net](mailto:hermilorodriguez@sbcglobal.net)

825 north wilton pl

[Los Angeles , California 90038](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** David Amaya <davidarceamaya14@maill.con>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:53 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

David Amaya  
davidarceamaya14@maill.con  
8000sunnybrae Av  
Winnetka , California 91306



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Luis Sanchez <9402324@live.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:52 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Luis Sanchez

[9402324@live.com](mailto:9402324@live.com)

124 East N street

Wilmington ca , California 90744



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)**1 message

---

**From:** Jonah Breslau <[jbreslau@laane.org](mailto:jbreslau@laane.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:48 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Jonah Breslau  
[jbreslau@laane.org](mailto:jbreslau@laane.org)  
1835 1/2 Carmona Ave  
[Los Angeles, California 90019](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like Venice Place (Item #6) and 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)))**11 messages

---

**From:** Joan Harper <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:32 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Joan Harper  
[joanharper818@gmail.com](mailto:joanharper818@gmail.com)  
240 Baptiste Way  
[La Canada, California 91011](#)

---

**From:** Catherine Neiman <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:59 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Catherine Neiman

[cathy\\_neiman@yahoo.com](mailto:cathy_neiman@yahoo.com)

418 Rose Ave.

[Venice , California 90291](#)

**From:** Adam Willis <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 5:14 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Adam Willis

[willisco@mac.com](mailto:willisco@mac.com)

8223 Wynne Ave.

[Reseda, California 91335](#)

From: vickbooz420@gmail.com <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 5:56 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

[vickbooz420@gmail.com](mailto:vickbooz420@gmail.com)

4833 Clark Ave

[Long Beach , California 90808](#)

4 / 11

---

**From:** Liliana Hernandez <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 5:59 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of

building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Liliana Hernandez

[liligael01@icloud.com](mailto:liligael01@icloud.com)

[5627 Lexington av 9](#)

Los Angeles , California 90038

5 / 11

---

**From:** Cari Bjelajac <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 6:12 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Cari Bjelajac

[caribjelajac@me.com](mailto:caribjelajac@me.com)

26 Dudley Ave

[Venice, California 90291](#)

6 / 11

---

**From:** Danielle Wilson <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 6:16 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or

kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Danielle Wilson

[danielleaurelia@gmail.com](mailto:danielleaurelia@gmail.com)

921 N Ogden Dr., #14

Porter Ranch, California 90046

7 / 11

---

**From:** Caleb Veazey <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 6:27 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Caleb Veazey

[caleb.veazey@yahoo.com](mailto:caleb.veazey@yahoo.com)

5023 Buchanan st

[Los Angeles , California 90042](#)

8 / 11

---

**From:** Ross Heckmann <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:11 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on

Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects. Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ross Heckmann  
[rosss.heckmann@gmail.com](mailto:rosss.heckmann@gmail.com)  
1214 Valencia Way  
[Arcadia , California 91006](#)

9 / 11

---

**From:** Emily Dibiny <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:15 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Emily Dibiny

[emynty@yahoo.com](mailto:emynty@yahoo.com)

4177, Commonwealth Ave

[Culver City, California 90232](#)

10 / 11

---

**From:** Mary Jack <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)

**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:34 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and

functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Mary Jack

[maryjackis@yahoo.com](mailto:maryjackis@yahoo.com)

913 Marco Place

Venice, California 90291

---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like Venice Place (Item #6) and 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639))**1 message

---

**From:** LISA SMITH <LISA@visioncomputerworks.com>  
**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org  
**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 5:58 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

LISA SMITH  
[LISA@VISIONCOMPUTERWORKS.COM](mailto:LISA@VISIONCOMPUTERWORKS.COM)  
25 Dudley Ave  
[Venice, California 90291](#)



---

**Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like Venice Place (Item #6) and 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639))**1 message

---

**From:** Ariane Pessis <[apessis@sbcglobal.net](mailto:apessis@sbcglobal.net)>**To:** [clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [clerk.cps@lacity.org](mailto:clerk.cps@lacity.org)**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 5:08 PM

---

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ariane Pessis

[apessis@sbcglobal.net](mailto:apessis@sbcglobal.net)

3519 La Clede Ave

[Los Angeles, California 90039](#)

