
Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))27 messages

From: Daniel Arturo <info@email.actionnetwork.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 11:46 AM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Daniel Arturo

mhenaryeh@gmail.com

14732 Huston St

[Sherman Oaks, California 91403](#)

From: Sarah Rogozen <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:00 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Sarah Rogozen
azucaronsnow@gmail.com
1459 Rexford Drive
[Los Angeles , California 90035](#)

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:02 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Liam Fitzpatrick

liamfit@gmail.com

1850 Whitley Ave, Apt 509

[Los Angeles, California 90028](#)

3 / 27

From: Hans Beischel <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:12 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Hans Beischel

hbardenheuer@gmail.com

4707 6th Ave

[Los Angeles, California 90043](#)

4 / 27

From: Steve Ducey <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:17 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Steve Ducey
stevejducey@gmail.com
134 S Dillon
Los Angeles, California 90057

5 / 27

From: kendall.mayhew@gmail.com <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:20 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place,

Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

kendall.mayhew@gmail.com

4316 Edenhurst Ave., Apt. 4

[LOS ANGELES, California 90039-1263](#)

6 / 27

From: Melanie Criscione <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:22 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses.

On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The

Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Melanie Criscione

criscionem97@gmail.com

7666 arroyo vista avenue

[Rancho Cucamonga , California 91730](#)

7 / 27

From: Danica Pierce <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:27 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments

like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Danica Pierce

danicaand@yahoo.com

6117 Mesa

Los Angeles, California 90042

8 / 27

From: Akio Katano <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:47 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable

housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Akio Katano

chuckieanvil@gmail.com

134 S Dillon

Los Angeles , California 90057

9 / 27

From: Samantha Monarch <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:09 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without

kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Samantha Monarch

crackinyoureye@gmail.com

2558 Glen Green St

[Los Angeles, California 90068](#)

10 / 27

From: Chase Engelhardt <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:09 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission

acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Chase Engelhardt

cengelh1@gmail.com

1635 Stoner ave

[Los Angeles, California 90025](#)

11 / 27

From: Michael Bednar <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:11 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing

requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Michael Bednar

mhbednar@gmail.com

560 North Kingsley Drive, Apt. 220

[Los Angeles, California 90004](#)

12 / 27

From: Ashley Gonzales <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:24 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Ashley Gonzales

ashleygo@gmail.com

241 n lake st

[los angeles, California 90026](#)

13 / 27

From: rcbgs@yahoo.com <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:29 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

rcbgs@yahoo.com
4023 W 64th St
[Los Angeles, California 90043](#)

14 / 27

From: Sasha Ortiz <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:29 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Sasha Ortiz
sashazoe@gmail.com
1526 1/2 Mohawk St.
[Los Angeles, California 90026](#)

15 / 27

From: Darrell Neft <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:29 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Darrell Neft
dsneft@gmail.com
3132 Madeira Av
[Costa Mesa , California 92626](#)

From: Karla Devine <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:48 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Karla Devine
kjdevine99@yahoo.com
1406 11th St
Manhattan Beach, California 90266

From: RevJim Conn <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:55 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

RevJim Conn
urbconn@aol.com
230 Pacific St Apt 108, Jobs
Santa Monica, California 90405

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:19 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Elaina Provencio

Elaina.provencio@gmail.com

4315 Prospect Ave

[Los Angeles, California 90027](#)

19 / 27

From: Jennifer Swan <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:39 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Jennifer Swan

jennycreeswan@gmail.com

224 S. Venice Blvd., Unit B

[Venice, California 90291](#)

20 / 27

From: Moshe Ben Aryeh-Leib <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:45 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Moshe Ben Aryeh-Leib
mbenaryeh@gmail.com
14732 Huston St
[Sherman Oaks, California 91403](#)

21 / 27

From: Joel Morgan <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:47 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place,

Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

From a tenant of CD1 in crisis,
- Joel

Joel Morgan
jstevenm@gmail.com
455 s lake st
[Los Angeles, California 90057](#)

22 / 27

From: Edward Kijewski <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 3:04 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place,

Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Edward Kijewski

the110press@mac.com

15 Paloma Ave., #506

[Venice , California 90291](#)

23 / 27

From: Jennifer Swan <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 3:08 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses.

On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Jennifer Swan

jennycreeswan@gmail.com

224 S. Venice Blvd., Unit B

[Venice, California 90291](#)

24 / 27

From: Sabrina Liao <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 3:23 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several

zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Sabrina Liao

dragolfotter+gov@gmail.com

4861 Arden Dr

[Temple City, California 91780](#)

25 / 27

From: brittani.nichols@gmail.com <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:01 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is

allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects. Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

brittani.nichols@gmail.com
2700 Cahuenga Blvd East
[LOS ANGELES, California 90068](#)

26 / 27

From: Chloe Gershenson <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:21 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without

kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Chloe Gershenson

chloeaviva@gmail.com

2206 Brenta Pl

[Venice, California 90291](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Michelle Seyler <mseyler@cluejustice.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:21 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Michelle Seyler
mseyler@cluejustice.org
464 Lucas Ave.
[Los Angeles, California 90017](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Renee Levine-Blonder <renee@poemation.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:10 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Renee Levine-Blonder

renee@poemation.com

21234 Providencia St.

[Woodland Hills, California 91364](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Karen Brodtkin <kbrodtkin@anthro.ucla.edu>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:09 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Karen Brodtkin

kbrodtkin@anthro.ucla.edu

803 Amoroso Pl

Venice, California 90291-3901

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Lenore Dowling <Indowling@att.net>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:01 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Lenore Dowling
Indowling@att.net
2253 Moreno Dr.
[Los Angeles, California 90039](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Iris Edinger <iris.n.edinger@my.csun.edu>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 3:27 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Iris Edinger

iris.n.edinger@my.csun.edu

5534 Pattilar Ave.

[Woodland Hills, California 91367](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Maneck Bhujwala <mbhujwala@socal.rr.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 3:08 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Maneck Bhujwala

mbhujwala@socal.rr.com

8644 PORTOLA CT

[HUNTINGTON BEACH, California 92646](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Sarah Schwartz <SarahElizabeth.Inc@gmail.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:37 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Sarah Schwartz

SarahElizabeth.Inc@Gmail.com

4741 N Figueroa St

[Los Angeles, California 90042](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: David Campbell <dcampbell@usw675.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:21 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

David Campbell
dcampbell@usw675.org
2856 N North Coolidge Ave
[Los Angeles, California 90745](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Christopher Roth <chris.roth@groundgamela.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:40 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Christopher Roth

chris.roth@groundgamela.org

849 S Broadway Apt 1205

[Los Angeles, California 90014](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Jessica Marglin <marglin@usc.edu>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:22 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Jessica Marglin

marglin@usc.edu

1110 Hi Point st.

[Los Angeles, California 90035](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Natalie Godinez <natalie.godinez@selfhelpgraphics.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:58 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Natalie Godinez

natalie.godinez@selfhelpgraphics.com

N/A

Los Angeles, California 90065

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place:1 message

From: allison schallert <info@email.actionnetwork.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:19 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In what could be an avalanch of evictions, the City of Los Angeles needs to be building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please demand the developers either but money in the linkage fee or put more affordable units into the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects

allison schallert

allison.jandmwellsff@gmail.com

147 N Larchmont #266

[LA, California 90004](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Alexandra Malek <a@alexandramalek.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:07 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Alexandra Malek

a@alexandramalek.com

2034 Argyle Ave, 4

Los Angeles, California 90068

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Mariana Huerta Jones <mhuerta@allianceforcommunitytransit.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:07 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Mariana Huerta Jones

mhuerta@allianceforcommunitytransit.org

152 W. 32nd Street

[Los Angeles, California 90007](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Bill Przylucki <bill@groundgamela.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 12:01 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Bill Przylucki

bill@groundgamela.org

4316 Edenhurst Ave. Apt. 4

[Los Angeles, California 90039](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: channa grace <channa@worksusa.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 11:59 AM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

channa grace

channa@worksusa.org

927 Terrace 49

[Los Angeles, California 90042](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Item #6 Venice Place: Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Build Affordable Housing (CF 18-1242 / 2005 James M Wood AND ZA-2019-1744 - 639 S. La Brea Ave))1 message

From: Olivia Barrett <olivia@chateaushatto.com>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 11:57 AM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Olivia Barrett
olivia@chateaushatto.com
1850 Whitley Avenue, Apt 1019
[Los Angeles, California 90028](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded We need housing, not hotels (Item #6) and 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)))1 message

From: Laurence Frank <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 6:03 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record homelessness, low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, we need affordable housing and not hotels. And I suspect the hotels proposed are refusing Labor standards. The City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Laurence Frank
larry.frank.00@gmail.com
2110 Walnut Avenue
[Venice, California 90291](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Koreatown Resident1 message

From: Carla Rosas <info@email.actionnetwork.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 2:45 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

I am a life long resident of Koreatown. I rent, work, and attend school all here in Koreatown. I have just found out that there is a plan to build a hotel one building away from me. That is absolutely ridiculous. Housing is already very limited in Koreatown due to high rent prices. PARKING IS SIMPLY AN ABOMINATION. The last thing we need is more traffic and less parking for the residents. In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways: At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Commission said it was "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the housing we truly need.

Carla Rosas

carlalrosas@gmail.com

970 Menlo Ave #4

[Los Angeles , California 90006](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)40 messages

From: James Miller <info@email.actionnetwork.org>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:48 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

James Miller

grtfeel1@aol.com

[5330 Whitsett Ave](#) 4

Valley Village, California 91607

From: Oscar Aguilar <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Oscar Aguilar
odaguilar77@gmail.com
1634 w. 59th St.
[Los angeles, California 90047](#)

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Marco Diaz

mrmad65@yahoo.com

4508 s van ness

[Los angels , California 90062](#)

From: Denise8 Hill <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Denise8 Hill

ddclassic@aol.com

1951 W 84th Pl

[Los Angeles, California 90047](#)

4 / 40

From: MANUEL DUARTE <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

MANUEL DUARTE

mduarte0130@gmail.com

[2200 West 14th Street](#), APT6

Los Angeles, California 90006

5 / 40

From: enrique gonzalez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La

Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

enrique gonzalez

enriquegonzalez861@gmail.com

1156 n cahuenga Blvd

[Los Angeles , California 90038](#)

6 / 40

From: Victor Herrera <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses.

On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Victor Herrera

herreravictor69@gmail.com

9124 National Blvd

[Los Angeles, California 90034](#)

7 / 40

From: Rosa Santana <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:49 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department

recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Rosa Santana

santana_r03@yahoo.com

12000 lamanda street

[Los Angeles , California 90066](#)

8 / 40

From: Ana Alejandra <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:50 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is

allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects. Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ana Alejandra
allemendozas@gmail.com
 1131 saborn ave
 Los Angeles, CA, California 90029

9 / 40

From: Jorge noubleau <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:50 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's

hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects. Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Jorge noubleau
jnobleaur@yahoo.com
7023 Willis Ave
[Van Nuys, California 91405](#)

10 / 40

From: Pedro Enríquez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:51 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will

have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Pedro Enríquez

taho_z71@yahoo.com

933 Venice Bl #1

[Venice, California 90291](#)

11 / 40

From: Alex Mendoza <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:52 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing

requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Alex Mendoza

mendozaa839@yahoo.com

4126 w rosewood ave

[Los Angeles California , California 90004](#)

12 / 40

From: Rosalina Álvarez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:52 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Rosalina Álvarez

rosita8811@yahoo.com

3042 E 6th st

[Los Ángeles California , California 90023](#)

13 / 40

From: Jean Witczak <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:53 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Jean Witczak
JeanWitczak@gmail.com
13522 Moorpark Street #2
[Sherman Oaks, California 91423](#)

14 / 40

From: Brenda Caballero Mendoza <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:53 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Brenda Caballero Mendoza
mendoza.brenda0981@gmail.com

10000 Imperial Highway, Apt E301
[Downey, California 90242](#)

15 / 40

From: Carl Theophile <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:53 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Carl Theophile
carltheophile@yahoo.com
457W.87thStreet Apt 12
LosAngeles, California 90003

16 / 40

From: Roberto Castillo <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:54 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Roberto Castillo
robertseon1@yahoo.com
413 1/2 N Serrano ave
[los Angeles, California 90004](#)

From: Kate Spear <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:56 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Kate Spear
katefspear@gmail.com
4072 1/2 Glenalbyn Dr
[Los Angeles, California 90065](#)

From: Sandra Rodriguez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:56 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Sandra Rodriguez
sandrar78@yahoo.com
1553 W. Jefferson Blvd.
[Los angeles, California 90018](#)

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:00 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

MARTHA Avalos

meavalos1007@gmail.com

1541 Ridgecrest St Apt C

[Monterey Park, California 91754-4370](#)

From: Milton Ortiz <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:01 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Milton Ortiz

Milcon942@gmail.com

1902 park av.220

Los Angeles, California 90026

21 / 40

From: Jacqueline Alecio <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:01 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Jaqueline Alecio

bechisga@hotmail.com

11935 Orchard Ave

[Los angeles, California 90044](#)

22 / 40

From: Daniel salas <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:04 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La

Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Daniel salas

daniel.salas2158@gmail.com

11930 hoyt st

[Sylmar, California 91342](#)

23 / 40

From: Lucero Ramirez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:05 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses.

On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Lucero Ramirez

lucyra_0110@yahoo.com

732 1/2 n gramercy pl

[Los angeles, California 90038](#)

24 / 40

From: Ruby Rodriguez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:19 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department

recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ruby Rodriguez

rubyrodd11@gmail.com

138 N. Soto St, 207

Los Angeles, CA, California 90033

25 / 40

From: Cesilia Canseco <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:21 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is

allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects. Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Cesilia Canseco
cansecomarquez86@gmail.com
 752 Wilton Place # 5
 Los Angeles, California 90005

26 / 40

From: Sonia Bautista <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:27 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's

hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects. Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Sonia Bautista
soniaboutista83@yahoo.com
7343 tujunganga ave apt #2
[North Hollywood, California 91605](#)

27 / 40

From: Lorenzo Martinez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:29 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will

have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Lorenzo Martinez

PrietoMartinez529@gmail.com

6327cold watercanyon Ave 51/2

North Hollywood Woody CA, California 91606

28 / 40

From: Lopez E Jose <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:37 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and 2005 James M Wood Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing

requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Lopez E Jose

jose.lopez631@yahoo.com

924 S carondelet ST apt 214

[Los ángeles ca , California 90006](#)

29 / 40

From: Helen Robles <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:44 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Helen Robles

irmachavez1022016@gmail.com

601 west 101 street

[Los Angeles , California 90044](#)

30 / 40

From: Deysi Diaz <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:46 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Deysi Diaz
deysidiaz77@hotmail.com
601 west 101 street
[Los Angeles , California 90044](#)

31 / 40

From: Patricia Ibanez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:48 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Patricia Ibanez
ibanezpatricia40@gmail.com

9400 National blvd apt 11
[Los Angeles California , California 90034](#)

32 / 40

From: Delmy Alas <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 9:14 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Delmy Alas
alasdemy@gmail.com
4925 West Adams Blvd apt 23
[Los Angeles ca, California 90018](#)

33 / 40

From: Emma Worthington <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 9:44 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Emma Worthington
emmamgot@gmail.com
6108 S Budlong Avenue
[Los Angeles , California 90044](#)

From: ELSA MEJIA <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 9:44 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

ELSA MEJIA
elsabonilla006@gmail.com
1565E50 TH ST
Los Angeles California , California 90011

From: Ildri Rodríguez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 9:47 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ildri Rodríguez
Ildriro98@gmail.com
15101/2 s westmoreland ave
[Los Ángeles CA, California 90006](#)

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 10:28 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need.

The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ana Lopez

analopez062489@gmail.com

225 E 51 st Street

[Los Angeles , California 90011](#)

From: Ana Miranda <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Thu, Oct 22, 2020 at 5:43 AM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ana Miranda

nelsonana2127@yahoo.com

3965 south budlong ave

[Los Angeles Ca, California 90037](#)

38 / 40

From: Raúl Macías <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Thu, Oct 22, 2020 at 6:52 AM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Raúl Macias

raumacias64@gmail.com

1000 n Figueroa st

[Wilmington , California 90744](#)

39 / 40

From: Mike Sinkov <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Thu, Oct 22, 2020 at 7:38 AM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La

Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Mike Sinkov

MSinkov@yahoo.com

146 S. Dillon Street

[Los Angeles, California 90057](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Lauren Bennett <LNBPRODUCTION@gmail.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 10:45 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

We demand our unhoused and under resourced siblings be treated with the humanity and access to shelter that they deserve. In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Lauren Bennett

LNBPRODUCTION@GMAIL.COM

2524 w 4th street

[Los Angeles , California 90057](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Mohammad Hussain <mohammadhussain86@tahoo.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 10:32 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Mohammad Hussain

mohammadhussain86@tahoo.com

5330 corteen pl#7

[Valley village , California 91607](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Judy Branfman <branfman@ucla.edu>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 10:19 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Judy Branfman

branfman@ucla.edu

PO Box 5351

Santa Monica, California 90409

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Juan Vazquez <juann5@msn.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 9:47 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Juan Vazquez

juann5@msn.com

3528 W Adams Blvd Apt 32

[Los Angeles, California 90018](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Emely Rivera <emelyrivera@att.net>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:26 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Emely Rivera
emelyrivera@att.net
1439 s sycamore ave
[Los Angeles , California 90019](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Rita Atilano <atilanx@sbcglobal.net>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:04 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Rita Atilano

atilanx@sbcglobal.net

2222 Duane St

[Los Angeles CA, California 90039](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Yaneth Velasquez <vic-roxana@live.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 8:00 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Yaneth Velasquez

vic-roxana@live.com

649 N Ardmore Ave.#9

[Los Angeles](#) , Texas 900004

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Ana Silva <silvaana@sbcglobal.net>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:55 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ana Silva
silvaana@sbcglobal.net
652 Imogen Ave
[Los Angeles, California 90026](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Hermilo Rodriguez <hermilorodriguez@sbcglobal.net>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:54 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Hermilo Rodriguez

hermilorodriguez@sbcglobal.net

825 north wilton pl

[Los Angeles , California 90038](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: David Amaya <davidarceamaya14@maill.con>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:53 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

David Amaya
davidarceamaya14@maill.con
8000sunnybrae Av
Winnetka , California 91306

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Luis Sanchez <9402324@live.com>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:52 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Luis Sanchez

9402324@live.com

124 East N street

Wilmington ca , California 90744

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)1 message

From: Jonah Breslau <jbreslau@laane.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:48 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Planning Department recommended approving several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Jonah Breslau
jbreslau@laane.org
1835 1/2 Carmona Ave
[Los Angeles, California 90019](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like Venice Place (Item #6) and 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)))11 messages

From: Joan Harper <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:32 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Joan Harper
joanharper818@gmail.com
240 Baptiste Way
[La Canada, California 91011](#)

From: Catherine Neiman <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 4:59 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Catherine Neiman
cathy_neiman@yahoo.com
418 Rose Ave.
[Venice , California 90291](#)

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 5:14 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Adam Willis

willisco@mac.com

8223 Wynne Ave.

[Reseda, California 91335](#)

From: vickbooz420@gmail.com <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 5:56 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

vickbooz420@gmail.com

4833 Clark Ave

[Long Beach , California 90808](#)

4 / 11

From: Liliana Hernandez <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 5:59 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of

building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Liliana Hernandez

liligael01@icloud.com

[5627 Lexington av](#) 9

Los Angeles , California 90038

5 / 11

From: Cari Bjelajac <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 6:12 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Cari Bjelajac

caribjelajac@me.com

26 Dudley Ave

[Venice, California 90291](#)

6 / 11

From: Danielle Wilson <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 6:16 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or

kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Danielle Wilson

danielleaurelia@gmail.com

[921 N Ogden Dr., #14](#)

Porter Ranch, California 90046

7 / 11

From: Caleb Veazey <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 6:27 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Caleb Veazey

caleb.veazey@yahoo.com

5023 Buchanan st

[Los Angeles , California 90042](#)

8 / 11

From: Ross Heckmann <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:11 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on

Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review. At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a "hybrid" between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects. Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ross Heckmann
rosss.heckmann@gmail.com
1214 Valencia Way
[Arcadia , California 91006](#)

9 / 11

From: Emily Dibiny <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:15 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is "predominately residential," although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project's hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not "residential units" in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Emily Dibiny

emynty@yahoo.com

4177, Commonwealth Ave

[Culver City, California 90232](#)

10 / 11

From: Mary Jack <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org

At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 7:34 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and

functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Mary Jack

maryjackis@yahoo.com

913 Marco Place

[Venice, California 90291](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like Venice Place (Item #6) and 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)))1 message

From: LISA SMITH <LISA@visioncomputerworks.com>
To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org
At: Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 5:58 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

LISA SMITH
LISA@VISIONCOMPUTERWORKS.COM
25 Dudley Ave
[Venice, California 90291](#)

Public Comments Not Uploaded Stop Giveaways to Hotel Developers, Like Venice Place (Item #6) and 2005 James M Wood (18-1242) AND 639 S. La Brea Ave (ZA-2019-1744 - 639)))1 message

From: Ariane Pessis <apessis@sbcglobal.net>**To:** clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org**At:** Wed, Oct 21, 2020 at 5:08 PM

PLUM Committee Members,

In the midst of record low hotel occupancy and looming evictions, the City of Los Angeles continues to twist the plain meanings of its municipal code to benefit hotel developers instead of building the affordable housing Angelenos desperately need. Please oppose the Venice Place, Wilshire La Brea and [2005 James M Wood](#) Projects and ensure we build the housing we desperately need. The projects twist the definitions in the following ways:

At the Venice Place hotel project, the Planning Department said that hotels are residential uses. On that basis, it supports the 78-unit Venice Place hotel project with only four apartments. The Department says it is “predominately residential,” although the hotel rooms have no kitchens or kitchenettes and are not intended for long term stays. So too, the Commission approved several zoning concessions intended for housing projects, not large commercial developments like the Venice Place project.

At the Wilshire La Brea project, represented by the same developer agent as Venice, the City is allowing the use of the Transit Oriented Communities incentives meant to produce affordable housing, to build a project with more hotel rooms than long term housing! Despite its ruling on Venice Place, where it said hotels are residential, the City Planning Department said the project’s hotel rooms do not count against a 200 residential unit limit because hotel rooms without kitchens are not “residential units” in order to grant it expedited environmental review.

At the 2005 James Wood hotel project, the developer is proposing an 100 unit hotel that will have kitchenettes targeting extended-stay customers. While the City Planning Commission acknowledged that the project as a “hybrid” between residential/commercial uses and functionally the same as a dwelling unit, it refused to apply Measure JJJ affordable housing requirements for residential dwelling unit projects.

Please stand up for housing and demand that our City rules be followed.

Oppose these projects unless they create the mixed-use and housing projects we truly need.

Ariane Pessis

apessis@sbcglobal.net

3519 La Clede Ave

[Los Angeles, California 90039](#)

