

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/15/2024 09:46 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: Our neighborhood was built with streets not holding a lot of traffic . How many times , on trash days, the truck could not get through because of cars parked on each side. I can not imagine a neighborhood with multiple stories buildings, (garages are never provided) to be built effectively and sufficient parking! Our neighborhood street has already two buildings with multiple renters (ADU) and it is causing trouble as far as parking goes! I'm not complaining about not having a parking space in front of our house , just once in a while it would be nice not to worry where our visitors should park !

Communication from Public

Name: L Leszczynski

Date Submitted: 11/16/2024 04:12 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without the included the Exhibit D "options" (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open up single-family neighborhoods to needless development. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough opportunities throughout our city to rezone to meet the State's mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Here are some facts to consider. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. An "unholy alliance" of housing-at-any-and-all-costs-any-time-anywhere ideologues using an inflammatory narrative and greedy investor / developers seeking to increase the value of their R1 real estate holdings by deregulating single-family neighborhoods to allow more density are collaborating to re-zone R1. Allowing apartments in single-family neighborhoods will not right the wrongs that in the past prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. People need the opportunity to buy affordable homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. You are deciding on an existential issue affecting hundreds of thousands of Angelenos. I ask you to respect the diversity of housing which makes Los Angeles the remarkable city that it is. Please vote to Approve Draft #3 without the options contained In Exhibit D. Respectfully, L Leszczynski 500 Block of North Beachwood Drive LA 90004

Communication from Public

Name: Martin Beck

Date Submitted: 11/16/2024 07:46 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without the included the Exhibit D "options" (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open up single-family neighborhoods to needless development. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough opportunities throughout our city to rezone to meet the State's mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Here are some facts to consider. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. An "unholy alliance" of housing-at-any-and-all-costs-any-time-anywhere ideologues using an inflammatory narrative and greedy investor / developers seeking to increase the value of their R1 real estate holdings by deregulating single-family neighborhoods to allow more density are collaborating to re-zone R1. Allowing apartments in single-family neighborhoods will not right the wrongs that in the past prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. People need the opportunity to buy affordable homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. You are deciding on an existential issue affecting hundreds of thousands of Angelenos. I ask you to respect the diversity of housing which makes Los Angeles the remarkable city that it is. Please vote to Approve Draft #3 without the options contained In Exhibit D. Respectfully, Martin Beck 128 N June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004

Communication from Public

Name: Fernando Gorodscy

Date Submitted: 11/16/2024 07:53 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I SUPPORT Draft #3 of the Housing Element WITHOUT Appendix D options. Density should be placed on our commercial corridors where new vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each high-resource community, while protecting existing single-family neighborhoods, RSO multifamily neighborhoods, HPOZs that are the historic heart of our city, and our vulnerable communities in high fire and coastal zones. There is plenty of capacity in L.A. to meet our housing needs and at the same time preserve a wide range of housing options. All of us will be part of the solution to create affordable housing in L.A.

Communication from Public

Name: Carole Magnuson

Date Submitted: 11/16/2024 08:28 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I SUPPORT Draft #3 of the Housing Element WITHOUT Appendix D options. Density should be placed on our commercial corridors where new vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each high-resource community, while protecting existing single-family neighborhoods, RSO multifamily neighborhoods, HPOZs that are the historic heart of our city, and our vulnerable communities in high fire and coastal zones. There is plenty of capacity in L.A. to meet our housing needs and at the same time preserve a wide range of housing options. All of us will be part of the solution to create affordable housing in L.A.

Communication from Public

Name: PAIGE FOCKLER

Date Submitted: 11/16/2024 08:47 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without the included the Exhibit D "options" (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open up single-family neighborhoods to needless development. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough opportunities throughout our city to rezone to meet the State's mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Here are some facts to consider. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. An "unholy alliance" of housing-at-any-and-all-costs-any-time-anywhere ideologues using an inflammatory narrative and greedy investor / developers seeking to increase the value of their R1 real estate holdings by deregulating single-family neighborhoods to allow more density are collaborating to re-zone R1. Allowing apartments in single-family neighborhoods will not right the wrongs that in the past prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. People need the opportunity to buy affordable homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. You are deciding on an existential issue affecting hundreds of thousands of Angelenos. I ask you to respect the diversity of housing which makes Los Angeles the remarkable city that it is. Please vote to Approve Draft #3 without the options contained In Exhibit D. Respectfully, Paige Fockler