

Communication from Public

Name: GOAT PUPPET

Date Submitted: 04/25/2025 06:27 PM

Council File No: 16-1104-S3

Comments for Public Posting: 1/ NO FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT from the City Administrative Officer, Sharon Tso, The Chief Legislative Analyst or City Controller has been done! THIS was not done, Despite Mr. Fauble's notice of possible litigation regarding "Zuma Dogg" and his earlier 1st Amendment lawsuit. 2/ COST OF LITIGATION: Will require the hiring of OUTSIDE COUNSEL who specializes in "1st Amendment" law to DEFEND the motion's new rules. Current estimates of \$600-\$1,100 AN HOUR for this type of specialty work is estimated. It will be interesting to see what firm the City retains and whether that firm DONATED to any of the Yes votes for the Motion, or has other City Contracts that might cause a conflict. Zuma Dogg's win of \$2.00 THAT IS TWO DOLLARS, back 10 years ago or so cost the City nearly \$700,000 in attorney fees! What will this motion cost the City? What if someone gets INJURED being ejected by LAPD officers after using the N or C word and the rule is deemed "unconstitutional?" The Supreme Court recently EXPANDED Municipal liability for "1st Amendment Retaliation" and "RICO" lawsuits involving "injuries" if the injury "affects business activities." 3/ EJECTIONS FROM FUTURE MEETINGS: The Council currently bans speakers from FUTURE MEETINGS who disrupt City or Council Committee meetings within "3 business days." YET, The City refuses to keep records of these disruptions and refuses to give WRITTEN CONFIRMATION when a ban is in effect. Yet, this motion does---Please require anyone who is on "probation" to be given written confirmation that they are "on probation" and what meetings they are banned from when the Council orders them removed. 4/ STUPIDITY: The City Council President listens to Kendrick Lamar, and also the City Council has honored rappers who use many "N" and "C" words. But, the motion was filed by the BLACK Council President BECAUSE the N word and C word are being used by NON-BLACK PEOPLE. The City attorney's 4 videos from 2018 show NO BLACK PEOPLE using the N or C word, despite numerous instances of it on the phone calls and in person meetings. Only a White Male, A Hispanic Male, and a Latina are shown using the N and C word. So, the motion is TARGETING non-Blacks who "DARE" USE THE "N-word." 5/ FEDERAL HOMELESS TASK FORCE: This motion MIGHT be considered an "overt act" in "furtherance" to

the following FEDERAL CRIMES: A. Honest Government Services Fraud B. Wire Fraud C. RICO conspiracy D. Hobbs Act E. Money Laundering through non-profits. The motion seeks to tighten the grip of the City Council to allow members of the public to give public testimony. This motion is in FURTHERANCE of other recent actions by the Council, including stopping ALL remote call in public comment for Council and Committee meetings (yet allowing it for HUNDREDS of other public City Meetings, Commissions, and Neighborhood Councils; Expanding and using "1 warning" to TARGETED SPEAKERS to cause those folks to be ejected from nearly every meeting; re-arranging agendas on Fridays to no items to speak on except public comment; taking long additional "recesses"; and moving meetings 20 miles away to Van Nuys from City Hall, and NEVER holding any evening Council meetings, unlike THOUSANDS of other Cities and municipalities do nationwide. As well, hundreds of parking spaces have been removed within 1 mile of the City Council Headquarters to further cause economic discouragement to low income folks who have to drive down now (no phone in comment) to speak at 10:00 am on a Tues, Wed. or Friday. Finally, Mr. Harris-Dawson has also capped overall public comment and takes public comment as soon as he can rush to it so other members of the public can't speak! Finally, a City Attorney named GROAT has been ruling hundreds of speakers "off topic" and cutting off their time prematurely, even going so far as to remove the speaker from all her comment time (maximum 3 minutes) down to a quick 60 second "general public comment." No members of the public can speak on any item WHEN the item is called and considered--they can only speak BEFORE the item is considered, further defrauding the public out of a "fair hearing." This motion is a further extension to suppress public participation of the taxpayers at their meetings.