



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 19-1603 - Agenda Item Number: 11

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Sun, Jun 22, 2025 at 4:39 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, indiejean@att.net

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Empowerment Congress North

Name: Jean Frost

Email: [indiejean@att.net](mailto:indiejean@att.net)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(6) Nay(0) Abstain(3) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 01/03/2019

Type of NC Board Action: Against Unless Amended

### Impact Information

Date: 06/22/2025

Update to a Previous Input: Yes

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 19-1603

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: 06/24/2025

Item Number: 11

Summary: The Empowerment Congress North Area Neighborhood Development Council on January 3, 2019 reviewed the project and voted to oppose the project in its current form. The Board adopted the recommendation of the Policy Committee Recommendation to oppose the project in its current form because of issues raised including: Incompatibility with the neighborhood in massing, scale and setback orientation; ground level podium parking; density; failure to comply with the

NSO; insufficient affordable units; inadequate setbacks; rooftop noise; lack of variation in design and population; failure to comply with CRA and South Community Plans; CEQA exemption. The project does not comply with the goals of the Redevelopment Plan which as an overlay takes precedence over the LAMC requirements. The impacts of this project need to be studied and a CE should not be the level of environmental review. The project as presently designed will have serious negative impacts on the neighborhood. The initial reaction by the Committee members on November 27 raised a variety of issues: that this is dormitory style not family housing, that affordable units will not be affordable for South LA residents, the resultant increased traffic and inadequate parking, compatibility with the historic neighborhood, compliance with the NSO, design issues, compliance with applicable plans, and the appropriate level of environmental review. No elements of the project were changed at the second meeting. It does not comply with the Hoover Exposition/University Park Redevelopment Plan. PLUM and Council should NOT reaffirm, the City Council's April 29, 2022, action in Council file No. 19-1603-S1, that upheld findings of the Project's consistency with the Exposition University Park Redevelopment Plan, the adoption of a Class 32 CE for the Project (ENV-2018-2454-CE-2A), and related findings



**@806 W Adams Comment be heard RDP COMPLIANCE PLUM.pdf**

189K



**NANDC**  
North Area Neighborhood Development Council  
[www.NANDC.org](http://www.NANDC.org)



**Thryeris Mason**  
President

**Julianne Burg**  
Vice President

**Samantha Burg**  
Secretary

**Julie Burg**  
Treasurer

**Marco Flores**  
Area 1  
Representative

**Joel Vaca**  
Area 1  
Representative

**Steve Pepdjonovic**  
Area 2  
Representative

**Kevin McPherson**  
Area 2  
Representative

**Jean Frost**  
Area 3  
Representative

**Nicholas Creighton**  
Area 3  
Representative

**Jon Tieuel**  
At Large  
Representative

**Nina Womack**  
At Large  
Representative

**Cindy Gaete**  
At Large  
Representative

**Christopher Perez**  
USC Interest  
Representative

**Jim Childs**  
Business  
Representative

May 24, 2021

Hon. Eric D. Bates, President  
South Los Angeles Area Planning Commission

Via email

**RE: Action/Discussion: DIR-2020-4338-RDP-1A, 806 W. Adams SAPC Appeal Hearing; Recommendation/Motion: that Neighborhood Council and public comment be taken at the next South Area Planning Commission hearing regarding the 806 W. Adams appeal of the projects' conformance to the Redevelopment Plan**

Dear Commissioner Bates,

The Empowerment Congress North Area Neighborhood Development Council (NANDC) is deeply appreciative of the forum the Commission provides and the diligence and effort of the Commissioners. Without your airing of issues and appeals and your deliberation and careful analysis, stakeholders in South Los Angeles would not have the ability to be heard. We applaud your efforts and those of the Commissioners.

Recently the SAPC has twice (April 6, and April 20) heard and appeal of DIR-2020-4338-RDP-1A, 806 W. Adams. The appeal challenges of the findings of the City that 806 W. Adams project is in conformance with the Redevelopment Plan and the CEQA exemption. The latest Commission review resulted in a 2-2 Commission vote. In the hopes that a 5<sup>th</sup> Commissioner could be in attendance to provide a 3rd vote and end the tie, the Commission will again deliberate.

Our request is that when this item is next heard, neighborhood council and public comment be again taken to allow for the fullest possible stakeholder discussion. We understand this is at your discretion since Vice-President Willis closed the public comment portion at the April 6 SAPC hearing.

The Empowerment Congress North Area Neighborhood Development Council (NANDC) Board discussed this at its General Board Meeting on Thursday, May 6. By unanimous motion, we respectfully request that when the item is next heard, additional neighborhood council and public comment be allowed.



DIR-2020-4338-RDP-1A is currently scheduled, based on discussion at the April 20<sup>th</sup> meeting, for June 15. We realize that agendas are fluid and June 15 is not yet created nor official. Whenever this is formally agendized we request additional comment be taken.

We have been informed by DONE's Octavio Rios that the board position will be reflected on the record before the South Los Angeles Area Planning Commission takes action on it. Further, 1. Comments given by their board-appointed spokesperson will be entered into the public record; 2. Their board-approved letter will be entered into the public record.

We are pleased that this will be accomplished but given the importance of this case and its impact on the character of the NANDC neighborhood, we would be pleased if you could afford the opportunity to allow additional comment. We are aware this is at the discretion of the President chairing the meeting, as the City Attorney informed the commissioners on April 6.

NANDC is a self-governed, self-directed, and independent organization empowered by the Los Angeles City Charter. This charter offers neighborhood councils a role in the City's decision-making process. NANDC was certified by the City of Los Angeles on April 27, 2002 and was the 24th neighborhood council formed under the guidelines of the City Charter.

Sincerely,

Thryeris Mason, President

CC: Councilmember Curren Price  
Sheralyn Correa, CD 10