



clerk CIS &lt;clerk.cis@lacity.org&gt;

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-0140-S1 - Agenda Item Number: 9(b0)

1 message

LA City SNow &lt;cityoflaprod@service-now.com&gt;

Sat, Aug 24, 2024 at 3:11 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow &lt;cityoflaprod@service-now.com&gt;

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: P.I.C.O.

Name: Brad Kane

Email: [bkane@kanelaw.la](mailto:bkane@kanelaw.la)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(13) Nay(0) Abstain(2) Ineligible(1) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 08/14/2024

Type of NC Board Action: For

### Impact Information

Date: 08/24/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 24-0140-S1

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: 08/14/2024

Item Number: 9(b0)

Summary: VIA Email and CIS Portal Housing and Homelessness Committee Office of the City Clerk, Community Impact Statement RE: CF 24-0140-S1- Motion of Support The PICO Neighborhood Council, strongly supports the motion in CF 24-0140-S1 (Park, Krekorian), calling for the City Attorney to analyze the impact of the Supreme Court's ruling in City of Grants Pass, Oregon v. Johnson – in that anti-camping laws do not violate the 8th Amendment's prohibition against cruel

and unusual punishment – on the City’s ability to regulate encampments in public spaces in Los Angeles, and further calling for a report by the Chief Legislative Analyst on current laws restricting camping in the 87 other cities in Los Angeles County that will impact the City of Los Angeles. The BCC supports CF 24-0140-S1 and the City Council obtaining feedback and advice regarding the impacts of the Grants Pass case, including the ability of the City to protect public sensitive use areas. The BCC has never supported "criminalizing homelessness," and believes that our City is obligated to strike a balance so that our children may go to school, our residents may use our parks and libraries, and those with disabilities are able to navigate our sidewalks without obstructions or having to dangerously enter the street. This report back request is a critical initial step for our City Council to take in order to understand the full ramifications of the Grants Pass case. Background: Councilwoman Park and numerous other Councilmembers have brought a motion in Council requesting the City Attorney to analyze the impact of the Supreme Court’s ruling in *City of Grants Pass, Oregon v. Johnson* on encampment regulations in Los Angeles, and requesting the Chief Legislative Officer to report on current regulations that restrict sleeping in the public right of way in the 87 other cities in the County that will impact the City of Los Angeles. CF 24-0140-S1: <https://cityclerk.lacity.org/lacityclerkconnect/index.cfm?fa=ccfi.viewrecord&cf>

Ref:MSG10986015



**2024-08-14 CIS Support For Grant Pass Analysis (Council File # 24-0140-S1).pdf**

151K



**P.I.C.O. NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL**  
**PO Box 351329 Los Angeles, CA 90035**  
[www.PICONC.com](http://www.PICONC.com)  
EMAIL: [info@piconc.com](mailto:info@piconc.com)



**PRESIDENT**  
Lisa Kaye

**VICE PRESIDENT**  
Jared Bogda

**SECRETARY**  
Aura Vasquez

**TREASURER**  
Ira Klein

**COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTOR**  
Brendan Nelson

August 14, 2024  
VIA Email and CIS Portal  
Housing and Homelessness Committee  
Office of the City Clerk, Community Impact Statement

RE: CF 24-0140-S1- Motion of Support

The PICO Neighborhood Council, strongly supports the motion in CF 24-0140-S1 (Park, Krekorian), calling for the City Attorney to analyze the impact of the Supreme Court's ruling in *City of Grants Pass, Oregon v. Johnson* – in that anti-camping laws do not violate the 8th Amendment's prohibition against cruel and unusual punishment – on the City's ability to regulate encampments in public spaces in Los Angeles, and further calling for a report by the Chief Legislative Analyst on current laws restricting camping in the 87 other cities in Los Angeles County that will impact the City of Los Angeles.

The BCC supports CF 24-0140-S1 and the City Council obtaining feedback and advice regarding the impacts of the Grants Pass case, including the ability of the City to protect public sensitive use areas. The BCC has never supported "criminalizing homelessness," and believes that our City is obligated to strike a balance so that our children may go to school, our residents may use our parks and libraries, and those with disabilities are able to navigate our sidewalks without obstructions or having to dangerously enter the street. This report back request is a critical initial step for our City Council to take in order to understand the full ramifications of the Grants Pass case.

#### Background:

Councilwoman Park and numerous other Councilmembers have brought a motion in Council requesting the City Attorney to analyze the impact of the Supreme Court's ruling in *City of Grants Pass, Oregon v. Johnson* on encampment regulations in Los Angeles, and requesting the Chief Legislative Officer to report on current regulations that restrict sleeping in the public right of way in the 87 other cities in the County that will impact the City of Los Angeles.

CF 24-0140-S1: <https://cityclerk.lacity.org/lacityclerkconnect/index.cfm?fa=ccfi.viewrecord&cfnumber=24-0140-S1>.  
Thumbnail description of the *Grants Pass* ruling (SCOTUS Blog): <https://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/city-of-grants-pass-oregon-v-johnson/>.

The Los Angeles Times published a lead / front-page article on Sunday July 7, discussing this Important issue and reporting on the questions and concerns about how the ruling will impact the City of Los Angeles:

<https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2024-07-07/will-the-grants-pass-ruling-on-homelessness-let-smaller-cities-shoo-people-to-la>

Both NC Westchester-Playa (NCWP) and Pacific Palisades CC (PPCC) have taken positions supporting the above CF; they now propose the motion in WRAC to support CF 24-0140-S1 (item 7a on the 7/15/24 WRAC Board meeting agenda). See their positions here:

NCWP 7/2/24 meeting agenda -- item 4(16) *passed*: <https://ncwpdr.org/ncwpagendas/board-agenda-july-2-2024/> and

PPCC 7/8/24 position letter: <https://pacpalicc.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/PPCC-Letter-Grants-Pass-Motion.pdf>  
Brentwood CC also supports CF 24-0140-S1. See:

<https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/CF-24-0140-S1.pdf>

At its meeting on July 9, 2024, the LA County Board of Supervisors passed a motion (Hahn) for a report back on the impact of the *Grants Pass* ruling (similar to the motion in CF 24-0140-S1).

Considering the Supreme Court's decision in *Grants Pass*, it is imperative that work on the requested analysis and

report begin as soon as possible, for the City Council to fully understand the impacts and to properly and effectively address homeless overnight camping / sleeping in the public right of way in Los Angeles.

Lisa Kaye  
President  
P.I.C.O. Neighborhood Council  
Board of Directors