

Council File 12-0460-SA, Planning File Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA, CEQA Case No. ENV-2016-3183-CE

Irene R. Lipsey <irene@ricepaddies.net>
To: "Zina.Cheng@lacity.org" <Zina.Cheng@lacity.org>

Thu, Nov 1, 2018 at 11:09 AM

Dear Miss Cheng,

I am writing to you urgently TO OPPOSE the Planning Department's recommendation as set forth in City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE. Please consider this in opposition to the Planning Department's recommendation for approval of the proposed ordinance and please be sure this letter is part of the file before PLUM and before Council.

The Planning Department published 948 pages of these materials on September 12, 2018. I am still trying to understand it all but it seems clear that this proposed ordinance delegates and shifts away from City Council a tremendous swath of authority over planning and land use in violation of the City Charter, specifically Charter sections 556 and 558. Please consider this to be a request for Council to consider the findings required under Charter sections 556 and 558 and conclude that it cannot approve this Proposed Ordinance since none of the findings required by the Charter can be made on this record.

This Proposed delegates many decisions to the Mayor or to the Director of Planning without preserving either the right to appeal to City Council or City Council's ability to step in and remove a matter from Planning as set forth in the City Charter. Even more importantly, this proposed ordinance takes away notices and rights to public hearings for most people and for city certified neighborhood councils which are required by the City Charter.

This is a really deceptive ordinance and involves much more than just an administrative reorganization. All you need to do is look at the new chapter and how many decisions are now made ministerially or administratively that previously required far more extensive public notice and a public hearing and had a right of appeal to Council. Now the only people who get notice of a project are the immediately adjacent neighbors -- the ordinance took away the notice to residents within 500 feet of a proposed project, notice to the neighbors across the street and notice to certified neighborhood councils which is REQUIRED by the City Charter (see for example the early warning system required by Section 907 of the City Charter). I object to these efforts to amend the City Charter without a ballot measure approved by the voters.

Plum and Council should stop this illegal ordinance in its tracks.

Respectfully yours,

Irene Lipsey and Craig Lipsey

[1043 S.Orlando Avenue](#)

[Los Angeles, CA 90035](#)

Planning and Land Use Management Committee

wfgraphics@aol.com <wfgraphics@aol.com>
To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Zina.Cheng@lacity.org

Thu, Nov 1, 2018 at 11:34 AM

To Council Member

I am writing to you urgently TO OPPOSE the Planning Department's recommendation as set forth in City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE. Please consider this in opposition to the Planning Department's recommendation for approval of the proposed ordinance and please be sure this letter is part of the file before PLUM and before Council.

The Planning Department published 948 pages of these materials on September 12, 2018. I am still trying to understand it all but it seems clear that this proposed ordinance delegates and shifts away from City Council a tremendous swath of authority over planning and land use in violation of the City Charter, specifically Charter sections 556 and 558. Please consider this to be a request for Council to consider the findings required under Charter sections 556 and 558 and conclude that it cannot approve this Proposed Ordinance since none of the findings required by the Charter can be made on this record.

This Proposed delegates many decisions to the Mayor or to the Director of Planning without preserving either the right to appeal to City Council or City Council's ability to step in and remove a matter from Planning as set forth in the City Charter. Even more importantly, this proposed ordinance takes away notices and rights to public hearings for most people and for city certified neighborhood councils which are required by the City Charter.

This is a really deceptive ordinance and involves much more than just an administrative reorganization. All you need to do is look at the new chapter and how many decisions are now made ministerially or administratively that previously required far more extensive public notice and a public hearing and had a right of appeal to Council. Now the only people who get notice of a project are the immediately adjacent neighbors -- the ordinance took away the notice to residents within 500 feet of a proposed project, notice to the neighbors across the street and notice to certified neighborhood councils which is REQUIRED by the City Charter (see for example the early warning system required by Section 907 of the City Charter). I object to these efforts to amend the City Charter without a ballot measure approved by the voters.

Plum and Council should stop this illegal ordinance n its tracks.

Emily Wildhirt Fowlkes
[1244 Stearns Drive](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90035](#)

Emily Wildhirt
Wildhirt | Fowlkes Graphics, inc.
323.937.4432 | Cell 213.926.4432

From: Alicia Sutton <aliciashow@icloud.com>
Date: November 1, 2018 at 8:07:33 AM PDT
Subject: **OPPOSE City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE.**

Dear Council Member Herb Wesson and PLUM Members,

I am writing to you urgently TO OPPOSE the Planning Department's recommendation as set forth in City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE. Please consider this in opposition to the Planning Department's recommendation for approval of the proposed ordinance and please be sure this letter is part of the file before PLUM and before Council.

The Planning Department published 948 pages of these materials on September 12, 2018. I am still trying to understand it all but it seems clear that this proposed ordinance delegates and shifts away from City Council a tremendous swath of authority over planning and land use in violation of the City Charter, specifically Charter sections 556 and 558. Please consider this to be a request for Council to consider the findings required under Charter sections 556 and 558 and conclude that it cannot approve this Proposed Ordinance since none of the findings required by the Charter can be made on this record.

This Proposed delegates many decisions to the Mayor or to the Director of Planning without preserving either the right to appeal to City Council or City Council's ability to step in and remove a matter from Planning as set forth in the City Charter. Even more importantly, this proposed ordinance takes away notices and rights to public hearings for most people and for city certified neighborhood councils which are required by the City Charter.

This is a really deceptive ordinance and involves much more than just an administrative reorganization. All you need to do is look at the new chapter and how many decisions are now made ministerially or administratively that previously required far more extensive public notice and a public hearing and had a right of appeal to Council. Now the only people who get notice of a project are the immediately adjacent neighbors -- the ordinance took away the notice to residents within 500 feet of a proposed project, notice to the neighbors across the street and notice to certified neighborhood councils which is REQUIRED by the City Charter (see for example the early warning system required by Section 907 of the City Charter). I object to these efforts to amend the City Charter without a ballot measure approved by the voters.

Plum and Council should stop this illegal ordinance n its tracks.

Respectfully,

Alicia Sutton
[1825 Ogden Drive](#)
[LA CA 90019](#)

TO OPPOSE the Planning Department's recommendation as set forth in City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE.

Cindy Chvatal <snorekel@gmail.com>

Thu, Nov 1, 2018 at 12:24 PM

To: David Ryu <david.ryu@lacity.org>, Emma Howard <emma.howard@lacity.org>, Vince.Bertoni@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org

Cc: zina.cheng@lacity.org

Dear Council Member Ryu, Vince Bertoni, Emma Howard, Council Member Blumenfield, Council Member Huizar, Council Member Harris -Dawson and Council Member Price,

I am writing to you urgently TO OPPOSE the Planning Department's recommendation as set forth in City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE. Please consider this in opposition to the Planning Department's recommendation for approval of the proposed ordinance and please be sure this letter is part of the file before PLUM and before Council.

The Planning Department published 948 pages of these materials on September 12, 2018. I am still trying to understand it all but it seems clear that this proposed ordinance delegates and shifts away from City Council a tremendous swath of authority over planning and land use in violation of the City Charter, specifically Charter sections 556 and 558. Please consider this to be a request for Council to consider the findings required under Charter sections 556 and 558 and conclude that it cannot approve this Proposed Ordinance since none of the findings required by the Charter can be made on this record.

This Proposed delegates many decisions to the Mayor or to the Director of Planning without preserving either the right to appeal to City Council or City Council's ability to step in and remove a matter from Planning as set forth in the City Charter. Even more importantly, this proposed ordinance takes away notices and rights to public hearings for most people and for city certified neighborhood councils which are required by the City Charter.

This is a really deceptive ordinance and involves much more than just an administrative reorganization. All you need to do is look at the new chapter and how many decisions are now made ministerially or administratively that previously required far more extensive public notice and a public hearing and had a right of appeal to Council. Now the only people who get notice of a project are the immediately adjacent neighbors -- the ordinance took away the notice to residents within 500 feet of a proposed project, notice to the neighbors across the street and notice to certified neighborhood councils which is REQUIRED by the City Charter (see for example the early warning system required by Section 907 of the City Charter). I object to these efforts to amend the City Charter without a ballot measure approved by the voters.

Plum and Council should stop this illegal ordinance in its tracks.

Thank you,

Cynthia Chvatal-Keane
[337 S. Las Palmas Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, C](#)

**OPPOSE Processes & Procedures Ordinance; Case No.: CPC-2016-3182-CA;
Council File: 12-0460**

Lynn Campbell <lynncampbell@easthollywood.net>
To: Zina Cheng <zina.cheng@lacity.org>
Cc: cityclerk@lacity.org

Thu, Nov 1, 2018 at 1:22 PM

Please oppose this proposed legislation. It takes the power granted to the City Council by the city charter out of the hands of elected officials and puts it into those of unelected officials of the Zoning Board.

Lynn Fountain Campbell
Arts & Culture Representative
East Hollywood Neighborhood Council

Opposition to Approving the Planning Department's recommendation as set forth in City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE.

Susan Grossman <segrossman@sbcglobal.net>

Thu, Nov 1, 2018 at 2:54 PM

Reply-To: Susan Grossman <segrossman@sbcglobal.net>

To: David Ryu <david.ryu@lacity.org>, Emma Howard <emma.howard@lacity.org>, Vince.Bertoni@lacity.org, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "Cc:" <zina.cheng@lacity.org>
Cc: Cindy Chvatal <snorekel@gmail.com>

Dear Council Member Ryu, Vince Bertoni, Emma Howard, Council Member Blumenfield, Council Member Huizar, Council Member Harris -Dawson and Council Member Price,

I am writing to ask that you oppose the Planning Department's recommendation (City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE) which would delegate much of the City Council's authority over planning and land use to the Planning Department. I oppose this for the following reasons:

- There has been insufficient notice and discussion of a substantial change to city planning processes - This 948 page document was released on September 12th, 2018 with little notification. The impacted parties, which includes every citizen in Los Angeles, has not had a chance to read and digest these proposals, let alone have input into the process. The proposed changes in responsibilities, which would shift much of the authority from the City Council to the Planning Department and Mayor, needs much more discussion and notification before being scheduled for a vote;
- Violates the City Charter - The proposed changes require a vote by the citizens of Los Angeles, as stipulated in LA City Charter Sections 556 and 558.

There is already suspicion of and lack of trust in City officials and City government. A behind the scenes change of this magnitude with so little discussion and notification will make a bad situation worse.

Please reject this recommendation and start a serious, open process to consider the issues raised by this recommendation.

Thank you,

Susan Grossman
627 Lillian Way
Los Angeles CA 90004

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Martin Beck** <martin@majorproperties.com>

Date: Thu, Nov 1, 2018 at 11:10 AM

Subject: URGENT ACTION REQUESTED REGARDING - PROPOSED ORDINANCE IN VIOLATION OF CITY CHARTER SECTIONS 556 AND 558

To: <David.ryu@lacity.org>, <Vince.Bertoni@lacity.org>, <emma.howard@lacity.org>, <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, <councilmember.price@lacity.org>

Dear Council Member Ryu, Vince Bertoni, Emma Howard, Council Member Blumenfield, Council Member Huizar, Council Member Harris -Dawson and Council Member Price,

I am writing to you urgently TO OPPOSE the Planning Department's recommendation as set forth in City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE. Please consider this in opposition to the Planning Department's recommendation for approval of the proposed ordinance and please be sure this letter is part of the file before PLUM and before Council.

The Planning Department published 948 pages of these materials on September 12, 2018. I am still trying to understand it all but it seems clear that this proposed ordinance delegates and shifts away from City Council a tremendous swath of authority over planning and land use in violation of the City Charter, specifically Charter sections 556 and 558. Please consider this to be a request for Council to consider the findings required under Charter sections 556 and 558 and conclude that it cannot approve this Proposed Ordinance since none of the findings required by the Charter can be made on this record.

This Proposed delegates many decisions to the Mayor or to the Director of Planning without preserving either the right to appeal to City Council or City Council's ability to step in and remove a matter from Planning as set forth in the City Charter. Even more importantly, this proposed ordinance takes away notices and rights to public hearings for most people and for city certified neighborhood councils which are required by the City Charter.

This is a really deceptive ordinance and involves much more than just an administrative reorganization. All you need to do is look at the new chapter and how many decisions are now made ministerially or administratively that previously required far more extensive public notice and a public hearing and had a right of appeal to Council. Now the only people who get notice of a project are the immediately adjacent neighbors -- the ordinance took away the notice to residents within 500 feet of a proposed project, notice to the neighbors across the street and notice to certified neighborhood councils which is REQUIRED by the City Charter (see for example the early warning system required by Section 907 of the City Charter). I object to these efforts to amend the City Charter without a ballot measure approved by the voters.

Plum and Council should stop this illegal ordinance in its tracks.

Thank you,

Martin S Beck
128 N. June Street
Los Angeles, CA 90004

MARTIN BECK
Major Properties I Commercial & Industrial Specialists
1200 W Olympic Blvd - Los Angeles, CA 90015
<http://www.majorproperties.com>
tel. 213.222.1202
cel. 323.314.7729
fax. 213.749.7972
martin@majorproperties.com
CA DRE License#01778125

PLEASE NOTE: Major Properties does not provide legal, tax or accounting advice, and recommends that you obtain such advice before entering into a real estate transaction

Re: Council File 12-0460-SA, Planning File Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA, CEQA Case No. ENV-2016-3183-

George Crowder <crowgeo@aol.com>

Thu, Nov 1, 2018 at 4:28 PM

To: Zina.Cheng@lacity.org, Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Tom.Rothmann@lacity.org, Phyllis.Nathanson@lacity.org, Bonnie.kim@lacity.org

To Members of the Planning and Land Use Management Committee,

I am writing to you urgently TO OPPOSE the Planning Department's recommendation as set forth in City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE. Please consider this in opposition to the Planning Department's recommendation for approval of the proposed ordinance and please be sure this letter is part of the file before PLUM and before Council.

The Planning Department published 948 pages of these materials on September 12, 2018. I am still trying to understand it all but it seems clear that this proposed ordinance delegates and shifts away from City Council a tremendous swath of authority over planning and land use in violation of the City Charter, specifically Charter sections 556 and 558. Please consider this to be a request for Council to consider the findings required under Charter sections 556 and 558 and conclude that it cannot approve this Proposed Ordinance since none of the findings required by the Charter can be made on this record.

This Proposed delegates many decisions to the Mayor or to the Director of Planning without preserving either the right to appeal to City Council or City Council's ability to step in and remove a matter from Planning as set forth in the City Charter. Even more importantly, this proposed ordinance takes away notices and rights to public hearings for most people and for city certified neighborhood councils which are required by the City Charter.

This is a really deceptive ordinance and involves much more than just an administrative reorganization. All you need to do is look at the new chapter and how many decisions are now made ministerially or administratively that previously required far more extensive public notice and a public hearing and had a right of appeal to Council. Now the only people who get notice of a project are the immediately adjacent neighbors -- the ordinance took away the notice to residents within 500 feet of a proposed project, notice to the neighbors across the street and notice to certified neighborhood councils which is REQUIRED by the City Charter (see for example the early warning system required by Section 907 of the City Charter). I object to these efforts to amend the City Charter without a ballot measure approved by the voters.

Plum and Council should stop this illegal ordinance in its tracks.

Sincerely,

George Crowder
crowgeo@aol.com
(310) 804-1485
[1643 S. Orange Grove Ave.](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90019](#)

OPPOSE the Planning Departments Recommendation!

Geoff Missad <gmissad@gmail.com>
To: Zina.Cheng@lacity.org

Thu, Nov 1, 2018 at 4:39 PM

To Zina Cheng,

I am writing to you urgently TO OPPOSE the Planning Department's recommendation as set forth in City Council File No. 12-0460-SA, Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA and ENV-2016-3183-CE. Please consider this in opposition to the Planning Department's recommendation for approval of the proposed ordinance and please be sure this letter is part of the file before PLUM and before Council.

The Planning Department published 948 pages of these materials on September 12, 2018. I am still trying to understand it all but it seems clear that this proposed ordinance delegates and shifts away from City Council a tremendous swath of authority over planning and land use in violation of the City Charter, specifically Charter sections 556 and 558. Please consider this to be a request for Council to consider the findings required under Charter sections 556 and 558 and conclude that it cannot approve this Proposed Ordinance since none of the findings required by the Charter can be made on this record.

This Proposed delegates many decisions to the Mayor or to the Director of Planning without preserving either the right to appeal to City Council or City Council's ability to step in and remove a matter from Planning as set forth in the City Charter. Even more importantly, this proposed ordinance takes away notices and rights to public hearings for most people and for city certified neighborhood councils which are required by the City Charter.

This is a really deceptive ordinance and involves much more than just an administrative reorganization. All you need to do is look at the new chapter and how many decisions are now made ministerially or administratively that previously required far more extensive public notice and a public hearing and had a right of appeal to Council. Now the only people who get notice of a project are the immediately adjacent neighbors -- the ordinance took away the notice to residents within 500 feet of a proposed project, notice to the neighbors across the street and notice to certified neighborhood councils which is REQUIRED by the City Charter (see for example the early warning system required by Section 907 of the City Charter). I object to these efforts to amend the City Charter without a ballot measure approved by the voters.

Plum and Council should stop this illegal ordinance n its tracks.

Thank you,

Geoff Missad