

Communication from Public

Name: Molly kirk
Date Submitted: 11/21/2024 08:25 PM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods.

Communication from Public

Name: Jacqueline Botts
Date Submitted: 11/21/2024 11:00 PM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without the Exhibit D “options” (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open single-family neighborhoods to rezoning and redevelopment. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough opportunities throughout our city to rezone to meet the State’s mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Here are some facts to consider. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the City's ambitious housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. An unholy alliance of housing ideologues and greedy corporate investors and developers are collaborating to rezone R1 neighborhoods. Allowing apartment buildings in single-family neighborhoods will not right past wrongs that prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. Individuals need the opportunity to buy single-family homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. Please respect the diversity of housing which makes Los Angeles the remarkable city that it is. Please vote to Approve Draft #3 without the options contained In Exhibit D. Respectfully, Jacqueline Botts (801 S Plymouth Blvd / 800 South Block/ Windsor Village

Communication from Public

Name: Laura Hall

Date Submitted: 11/15/2024 03:22 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: Dear PLUM Committee Members, My name is Laura Hall, and I am commenting on behalf of EAH Housing, a non-profit affordable housing developer operating across California. I am writing to ask the Committee to adopt Exhibit D, Option #1 of the CHIP from the City Staff report. As it stands, excluding single-family zoned parcels continues to uphold exclusionary zoning, resulting in decades of racial and economic disparities among Angelenos. This will limit the effectiveness of the housing incentives offered in the CHIP ordinance and directly undermine the goal of increasing affordable housing opportunities in high-opportunity areas. Furthermore, the passage of Option #1 would mark a significant shift in our city's ability to meet the growing demand for affordable housing along transit corridors. As outlined within the ordinance, CHIP unlocks incentives for developers to create housing solutions that directly intersect with Mayor Bass' goal to bridge housing and public transportation to create transit-orientated developments. EAH believes that CHIP's Provision on the Mixed-Income Incentive Program (MIIP) will prepare us for the task. We respectfully ask for your aye vote on this issue. Thank you, Laura Hall, President & CEO EAH Housing

Communication from Public

Name: Rachel Dworkin
Date Submitted: 11/21/2024 05:42 PM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft #3 of the CHIP Ordinance without any options from Exhibit D.