

January 7th, 2025

City Clerk, Rm 395; Los Angeles City Hall – 200 N. Spring St. Los Angeles CA 90012

Dear City Council and all related parties,

I am writing in protest of Council File 24-0900-S54.

My property is within the proposed assessment district.

The proposed off-site indexed plans related to this project involve installing a large flat concrete setback area to replace a well-designed and landscaped modest frontage at our immediate neighboring property on 3818 Pacific Avenue, at our corner intersection. This plan is an example of Los Angeles bureaucracy completely ignoring one half of its own “Benefit Factors” in the “Engineer’s Report for the City of Los Angeles Bureau of Street Lighting” highlighting the “Community Character and Vitality Benefit”. When the light results in a giant concrete setback pad to replace decades old precedent of green and carefully designed frontage, it obviously makes our street corner and neighborhood objectively less attractive. We do not want any part of this. Please vote against it and instruct the Bureau of Street Lighting to stop trying to do this to our street corner. I am told that if this assessment effort fails, the assessment division has a plan to jam it through without our votes. This is not right and we the neighbors do not want this. Thanks,



Elena White

3812 Pacific Avenue #3

Marina Del Rey CA 90292

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
2025 JAN 14 PM 12:28
CITY CLERK
BY _____

To: City Clerk, Room 395
Los Angeles City Hall
200 N. Spring St.
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: L.A. City Council Protest
Council File No. 24-0900-S54

From: Jackson H. Mollin
3812 Pacific Avenue Unit 1
Marina Del Rey CA 90292

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
2025 JAN 14 PM 12:28
BY
CITY CLERK
JPM/11/10

I am the owner of a property within the proposed assessment district at Pacific Ave. and Hurricane St. I am OPPOSED to the installation of the street light for reasons beyond the annual assessment.

Foremost, the proposed location and plans for this require the utilization of not just the current 5 foot sidewalk area but also a large surrounding area that encroaches inside an old property dedication that modified the original property line after the building was established. The dedication was originally meant for widening of the street, not for extending the sidewalk. Regardless, the plans construct in this area and will negatively impact the character and vitality of our neighborhood, as it will require the destruction of well-established landscaping in front of this building and will upend a 60 plus year-old raised building area that includes raised grass, decorative fences, a wall, tall well-established plants etc. to be replaced with an unattractive flat low concrete area surrounding the streetlight, and immediately behind that will be an oddly sloped raised building. This would be a highly unwelcome and ugly modification to the corner of our intersection.

Additionally, the benefit of safety and security is only minor given the already established streetlight less than 75 feet away on the opposite corner of the same intersection (which should be better maintained and assessed and improved for its effectiveness).

At minimum, the City departments should require that this streetlamp only utilize the current 5 foot sidewalk space to preserve the current character of the building. Since this will not be the case,

I, THE NEIGHBOR, STRONGLY PROTEST THIS STREETLIGHT INSTALLATION AND URGE THE CITY COUNCIL TO INFORM CITY PLANNING, THE BUREAU OF ENGINEERING AND THE BUREAU OF STREET LIGHTING TO ABANDON THIS EFFORT.

Yours Truly,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Jackson Mollin', with a stylized, cursive script.

Jackson Mollin

1-6-2025