

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Ben Parnas

**Date Submitted:** 03/20/2024 01:36 PM

**Council File No:** 24-0131

**Comments for Public Posting:** I would like to provide some input as a member of the public who spoke in support of better streets in our city by voting, along with 65% of my neighbors, to pass measure HLA earlier this month. I wanted learn which topics or concerns these two motions aim to focus efforts on. Both indicate that the city must prepare for their implementation of the plan, and both note the existence of finite resources - time, personnel, funding, with which to do this. Both also request that LADOT and BSS look into what funding would be required to implement the measure in the near term, sounds like a good idea. Where I start to see differences is where the remaining requested resources are used. Ms. Raman and Mr. Harris-Dawson's motion focuses efforts within the fire/police depts and the attorney general to uncover the costs of our existing dangerous streets - costs to lives, costs in time, and costs to our budget from legal settlements. this makes sense to me - we know crashes are common and where they happen, but better understanding their cost highlights our priorities when implementing the mobility plan, and helps put the expected costs into perspective. Coordination between the two departments on this effort is what I'd expect out of my government, so I'm also supportive of this affirmation. Meanwhile Ms. Park and Mr. Krekorian's motion focuses our remaining efforts on getting answers to hypotheticals like "how much will it cost us to be sued for not complying?", "how badly will our current paving plans be impacted" and "how many parking spaces and travel lanes will we lose?" That last one made it clear to me - the motion in item 14 appears to paint the implementation of HLA as taking things away from us. Parking spaces? They're not people, council. I've seen people taken away from us from traffic accidents, I don't equate that to having to drive an extra block to pick up my takeout. if you mainly aim to support the parking spots, then please call up empty chairs the next time you request public feedback. Please reject this motion and show support for the majority of your human constituents by approving the motion in item 15. Thank you.