

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/23/2025 06:57 AM

Council File No: 25-0600

Comments for Public Posting: Re: Council File No. 25-0600 / CEMO I write as a resident of Los Angeles County in STRONG OPPOSITION to proposed budget cuts to the Climate Emergency Management Office (CEMO). The proposed cuts would eliminate staffing at CEMO. There would be no CEMO. So, three months after the Palisades and Eaton fires, the City of Los Angeles wants to eliminate its only agency dedicated to emergency response to climate-fueled??!? Santa Ana winds were blowing up to 90 mph, in January! These new and novel situations will only increase over time. The CEMO has a unique role as an agency working to understand and respond to the unprecedented frequency, intensity, duration, scale, and damage of climate change-fueled disasters. The City of Los Angeles has no equivalent capacity to the CEMO in other departments. You do however have a surplus of wealthy residents who do not pay their fair share of personal and business income taxes, as well as continued a late of subsidies for fossil fuel-intensive industries and activities in your budget. 2028 is too late! Too late to prepare the City of Los Angeles for climate disasters that defied all expectations, modelling, and frankly imagination: Such disasters have already occurred this January! This is a time to expand the CEMO to learn how to prepare for the next fire or other climate-fueled disaster. This is not the time to leave LA residents, visitors, and businesses in the lurch. Please understand the discussion of CEMO funding and your vote on April 25, 2025 will follow you the rest of your natural lives. Either be who the people of LA need in this moment, or be the people who voted to leave Angelenos even more exposed, more at risk, more without resources or recourse while you continue to favor climate-change fueling businesses and industries, AND THEN GO explain that to every child you encounter the rest of your days: "Hi. I'm the person who voted to leave you unprepared for these fresh new realities of your life, because I simply lacked the imagination, skill, and will to create a better budget in 2025. I am to blame for your life prospects being worse than mine. Me." You cannot be both. Make your choice, and know that the rest of the City is watching. Please OPPOSE any budget proposal in the Budget and Finance Committee meeting on April 25, 2025 that would lead to the elimination of the CEMO.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/23/2025 07:02 AM

Council File No: 25-0600

Comments for Public Posting: Re: Council File No. 25-0600 / CEMO I write as a resident of Los Angeles County in STRONG OPPOSITION to proposed budget cuts to the Climate Emergency Management Office (CEMO). The proposed cuts would eliminate staffing at CEMO. There would be no CEMO. So, three months after the Palisades and Eaton fires, the City of Los Angeles wants to eliminate its only agency dedicated to emergency response to climate-fueled disasters??!? Santa Ana winds were blowing up to 90 mph, in January! These new and novel situations will only increase over time. The CEMO has a unique role as an agency working to understand and respond to the unprecedented frequency, intensity, duration, scale, and damage of climate change-fueled disasters. The City of Los Angeles has no equivalent capacity to the CEMO in other departments. You do however have a surplus of wealthy residents who do not pay their fair share of personal and business income taxes, as well as continued a slate of subsidies for fossil fuel-intensive industries and activities in your budget. 2028 is too late! Too late to prepare the City of Los Angeles for climate disasters that defied all expectations, modelling, and frankly imagination: Such disasters have already occurred this January! This is a time to expand the CEMO to learn how to prepare for the next fire or other climate-fueled disaster. This is not the time to leave LA residents, visitors, and businesses in the lurch. Please understand the discussion of CEMO funding and your vote on April 25, 2025 will follow you the rest of your natural lives. Either be who the people of LA need in this moment, or be the people who voted to leave Angelenos even more exposed, more at risk, more without resources or recourse while you continue to favor climate-change fueling businesses and industries, AND THEN GO explain that to every child you encounter the rest of your days: "Hi. I'm the person who voted to leave you unprepared for these fresh new realities of your life, because I simply lacked the imagination, skill, and will to create a better budget in 2025. I am to blame for your life prospects being worse than mine. Me." You cannot be both. Make your choice, and know that the rest of the City is watching. Please OPPOSE any budget proposal in the Budget and Finance Committee meeting on April 25, 2025 that would lead to the elimination of the CEMO. [copy-edited -ds]

Communication from Public

Name: Mr. David Valdez

Date Submitted: 04/23/2025 07:58 AM

Council File No: 25-0600

Comments for Public Posting: Ms. Karen Bass, we are NOT your scapegoat. We are the civil servants who have served your constituents selflessly. You, decidedly, willingly, and without regard, too easily offer your civil servants' livelihood as a solution to your and Controller Mejia's blatant mismanagement. You propose open pockets to the homeless, all the while you and Controller Mejia would effectively offer your civil servants as a sacrifice solely to deflect and redirect attention and accountability. Controller Mejia, as a consequence of your inexperience, mismanagement, and absence of training in managing one of the world's most populated and richest cities, that have contributed to our City's budget deficit. Mr. Mejia, we, the City's workforce, are more than just a single line item in your bound budget books. Mr. Mejia and Ms. Bass, your proposal is short-sighted, selfish, and ultimately disgusting. Ms. Bass in your State of the City address, your self-promoting and praise were deplorable.