

Communication from Public

Name: Marlene Larson

Date Submitted: 10/31/2024 10:56 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: At the September Planning Commission hearing, the Planning Department staff report recommended Draft #3 with no rezoning of single-family neighborhoods. Please correct the Letter of Determination. Exhibit D for Draft #3 was not recommended, yet the record shows that it is.

Communication from Public

Name: Marlene Larson
Date Submitted: 10/31/2024 10:57 AM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: At the September Planning Commission hearing, the Planning Department staff report recommended Draft #3 with no rezoning of single-family neighborhoods. Please correct the Letter of Determination. Exhibit D for Draft #3 was not recommended, yet the record shows that it is.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 10/31/2024 12:28 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without including the Exhibit D “options” (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open up single-family neighborhoods to needless development. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough rezoning opportunities throughout our city to meet the State’s mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. Allowing apartments in single-family neighborhoods will not right the wrongs that in the past prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. People need the opportunity to buy affordable homes so they can build generational wealth. Renting an apartment in a single family neighborhood doesn't do that. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. I support the Approval of Draft #3 of the CHIP as recommended by the City Planning Commission, without the options contained In Exhibit D. Respectfully, Patricia Hess South Shore neighborhood 90732

Communication from Public

Name: Charles C. Pace

Date Submitted: 10/31/2024 02:53 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Ms. or Sir... I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without the Exhibit D “options” (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open single-family neighborhoods to rezoning and redevelopment. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough opportunities throughout our city to rezone to meet the State’s mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Here are some facts to consider. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the City's ambitious housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. An unholy alliance of housing ideologues and greedy corporate investors and developers are collaborating to rezone R1 neighborhoods. Allowing apartment buildings in single-family neighborhoods will not right the wrongs that in the past prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. Individuals need the opportunity to buy single-family homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. Please respect the diversity of housing which makes Los Angeles the remarkable city that it is. Please vote to Approve Draft #3 without the options contained In Exhibit D. Respectfully, Charles C, Pace, MPH, MSw

Communication from Public

Name: Diane Bertelsen

Date Submitted: 10/31/2024 03:49 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without including the Exhibit D “options” (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open up single-family neighborhoods to needless development. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough rezoning opportunities throughout our city to meet the State’s mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. Allowing apartments in single-family neighborhoods will not right the wrongs that in the past prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. People need the opportunity to buy affordable homes so they can build generational wealth. Renting an apartment in a single family neighborhood doesn't do that. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. I support the Approval of Draft #3 of the CHIP as recommended by the City Planning Commission, without the options contained In Exhibit D. Respectfully, Diane Bertelsen San Pedro, CA 90732