

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/22/2023 06:23 AM

Council File No: 18-0610-S3

Comments for Public Posting: Right to counsel should be for both. Stop creating this division. You should be uniting the city not dividing us. I am a small time homeowner and I want a right to free counsel as well not just free for tenants. Further more: These 6 City Council Members are trying to short circuit the proper functional structure under Measure ULA and give the short shrift to the core programs created by it in favor of carving off this extremely divisive “Right to Counsel” concept for expedited and preferential treatment. Even more shocking is that the City doesn’t even have the necessary funds in hand yet to pay for any of these programs and yet these 6 City Council Members are rushing to force this item through the City Council before proper consideration of all other programs receiving money from the same single revenue source. This means that every taxpayer dollar spent on “Right to Counsel” is a dollar that is no longer available to be spent on other far more beneficial programs under Measure ULA, including permanent housing and assistance for at-risk seniors and persons with disabilities. It is deeply disturbing that these 6 City Council Members are being allowed to wrongfully manipulate the City Council system by having this item heard separately from all of the other programs created under Measure ULA. It is grossly negligent, financially reckless and structurally improper that the City Council has placed this item on the agenda for discussion at this time as funding for a single program under Measure ULA should not be done separately from all other programs provided for under Measure ULA. This item should at a minimum be held until all other programs are brought forward as a single bundle for prioritization and funding.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/22/2023 08:15 AM

Council File No: 18-0610-S3

Comments for Public Posting: PLEASE VOTE NO on motion for free private legal counsel
Good morning Council Members, I heard about the meeting that will be held this morning to pass a motion hat will provide City-paid private practice attorneys (NOT Public Counsel) to renters facing City-approved causes of eviction, including At Fault actions for non-payment of current rent among others. How is this fair to the housing providers and landlords who have to pay mortgages, property taxes and more, especially if the tenant is actually at fault. Why not help the landlords to recuperate the lost rent or help the tenant to pay the rent? The way things are going in the legal system supposedly because of Covid makes absolutely NO sense at all to anybody with common sense. It doesn't take a lawyer or politician to see how biased and unfair the housing system has become towards the housing providers. It's just unbelievably sad how far this injustice towards the landlords has gone and is going. I could go on but I beg you to please reconsider and look at all sides when making your decision and I really hope that you will vote NO on this motion. Sincerely,
IPMS

Communication from Public

Name: Alexandra Otterstrom

Date Submitted: 03/22/2023 11:12 AM

Council File No: 18-0610-S3

Comments for Public Posting: I urge you to oppose motion (Agenda Item 6)

Communication from Public

Name: Brandon Dickey
Date Submitted: 03/22/2023 11:13 AM
Council File No: 18-0610-S3
Comments for Public Posting: I urge you to oppose motion (Agenda Item 6)

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/22/2023 12:00 PM

Council File No: 18-0610-S3

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members, without compensation, (mortgage relief, property tax relief), a very large group of rental owners have decided to pull out of the rental market, remove vacancies from the rental market unless prospective renters can prove they can pay the rent. Many want 12 months rent in advance. I suggest some kind of modification to your bill.