



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-0867 - Agenda Item Number: 4 c

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Wed, Nov 13, 2024 at 6:26 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, ethics.policy@lacity.org, commission@empowerla.org

Cc: mikayeelkhan@gmail.com

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or Resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Pacoima

Name: Mikayeel Khan

Email: mikayeelkhan@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(12) Nay(1) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 10/16/2024

Type of NC Board Action: Against Unless Amended

Impact Information

Date: 11/14/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees, City Ethics Commission, Board of Neighborhood Commissioners

Council File Number: 24-0867

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: 10/16/2024

Item Number: 4 c

Summary: TO: City Council and Committees, City Ethics Commission, Board of Neighborhood Commissioners Council File No.: 24-0867 Pacoima Neighborhood Council opposes the proposed changes in Council File Number: 24-0867 regarding term limits for board members and mandatory youth seats. It is our belief that these amendments would adversely affect the effectiveness and stability of Neighborhood Councils, despite their intent to increase diversity and

foster leadership development. 1. Due to the time commitment required, it is often impossible to fill mandatory youth seats because term limits would intensify existing retention issues and risk losing valuable institutional knowledge. It's hard for many Neighborhood Councils to keep their members. This problem would get worse if term limits were implemented. 2. The longest-serving board members have valuable institutional knowledge that makes the Neighborhood Council work. By forcing these experienced members to step down, our efficiency would be severely compromised. 3. Instead of mandating counterproductive bylaw changes, we ask the city to boost funding for Neighborhood Councils and DONE, to provide comprehensive training and resources to DONE, to improve technology and communication tools, and to streamline administrative processes. DONE and other city departments lack basic skills in communication and public relations, so these proposals address symptoms rather than root causes. In conclusion, we strongly urge the City Council to reject proposed bylaw modifications. The government should instead work with Neighborhood Councils to find solutions that address our real challenges and needs. Neighborhood Councils can be strengthened by focusing on improved support, resources, and skill development so that they can effectively serve our communities. Mikayeel Khan President Pacoima Neighborhood Council

Ref:MSG11425978