

Communication from Public

Name: Richard Margulieux

Date Submitted: 03/14/2025 07:07 PM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: I support signal priority for Light Rail and buses throughout the city. Specifically for my local line "A / Gold / Blue" through North East LA and south of Downtown.

Communication from Public

Name: Danny Tran

Date Submitted: 03/14/2025 09:36 PM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: The A, E, and G lines (and other BRT lines) all need transit signal priority (TSP), as it would greatly boost the speed and reliability of these lines. LADOT has historically prioritized cars over transit vehicles at intersections, and TSP would help to ensure that public transit vehicles are operating at their peak efficiency. Furthermore, TSP would substantially reduce commuting times for both transit and car users. LA City Council needs to pass Council File 24-1222 to ensure that the A, E, G, and other BRT lines operated LA Metro do not experience unnecessary delays waiting for private vehicles. To meet California's ambitious climate goals, LA needs to start prioritizing and rewarding transit use over the status quo of private automobiles, and this starts with TSP on all of LACMTA's lines.

Communication from Public

Name: Auguste Miller

Date Submitted: 03/14/2025 10:24 PM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: Grade Rail Lines should absolutely have signal priority. This is a very basic item for a fully functioning and prioritized public transit system.

Communication from Public

Name: Lyndon Tucker

Date Submitted: 03/14/2025 10:41 PM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: Transit vehicles should be prioritized, both bus and train. It's inefficient and regressive to prioritize single cars over transit vehicles. If we are going to have a decent transit system in time for the Olympics, this would be a helpful step.